

Phase 2 Environmental Site Assessment, Parcel 18

Prepared for: Hydro Aluminium Kurri Kurri Pty Ltd

> Prepared by: ENVIRON Australia Pty Ltd

> > Date: April 2015

Project Number: AS130348



i

Prepared by:		Authoris	Authorised by:	
Name:	Kirsty Greenfield	Name:	Fiona Robinson	
Title:	Environmental Scientist	Title:	Manager - Hunter	
Phone:	02 4962 5444	Phone:	02 4962 5444	
Email:	kgreenfield@environcorp.com	Email:	frobinson@environcorp.com	
Signature:	Koreenfild Date: 174/15	Signature:	finformen Date: 174/15	

This document is issued in confidence to Hydro Aluminium Kurri Kurri Australia Pty Ltd for the purposes of a Phase 2 Environmental Site Assessment. It should not be used for any other purpose. The scope of the Phase 2 Environmental Site Assessment was based on ENVIRON's proposal dated 18 September 2013.

The report must not be reproduced in whole or in part except with the prior consent of ENVIRON Australia Pty Ltd and subject to inclusion of an acknowledgement of the source. No responsibility is accepted for use of any part of this report in any other context or for any other purpose or by third parties. This report does not purport to give legal advice. Legal advice can only be given by qualified legal practitioners.

Whilst reasonable attempts have been made to ensure that the contents of this report are accurate and complete at the time of writing, ENVIRON Australia Pty Ltd disclaims any responsibility for loss or damage that may be occasioned directly or indirectly through the use of, or reliance on, the contents of this report.

Specific assumptions and limitations identified by ENVIRON as being relevant are set out in the report. The methodology adopted and sources of information used by ENVIRON are outlined in our scope of work. ENVIRON has made no independent verification of this information beyond the agreed scope of works.

This report should be read in full.

© ENVIRON Australia Pty Ltd

Contents

		Page
1	Introduction	2
1.1	Background	2
1.2	Objectives and Scope of Work	2
2	Site Description	3
2.1	Site Location	3
2.2	Site Setting	4
2.2.1	Topography	4
2.2.2	Regional Geology	4
2.2.3	Site Hydrology	4
2.2.4	Regional Hydrogeology	4
2.3	Site Sensitivity	5
3	Site History	6
4	Previous Investigations	7
4.1	Soil	7
4.2	Surface Water	7
4.2.1	ENVIRON Previous Investigations	7
4.2.2	Hydro Routine Monitoring	7
5	Sampling and Analytical Quality Plan	9
5.1	Potential Areas and Contaminants of Concern	9
5.2	Data Quality Objectives and Data Quality Indicators	9
5.3	Sampling Design	9
5.3.1	Fluoride	9
5.3.2	Asbestos	10
6	Basis for Assessment Criteria	11
6.1	Soil	11
7	Results	13
7.1	Site Walkover	13
7.2	Soil Investigations	13
7.3	Soil Results	14
7.4	Quality Assurance/ Quality Control	14
7.5	Bake Furnace Refractory Brick	14
8	Site Characterisation	16
8.1	Conceptual Site Model	16

9	Conclusions and Recommendations	17
10	References	18
	Limitations User Reliance	19 19

List of Figures

Figure 1:	Site Location Plan
Figure 2:	Location of Parcel 18 on the Masterplan
Figure 3:	Site Layout and Sampling Locations

List of Tables

Table 1:	Lot and Development Plans for Parcel 18.
Table 2:	Health screening levels for asbestos contamination in soil (w/w)
Table 3:	Site Specific Soil Assessment Criteria (mg/kg) for Fluoride
Table 4:	Summary of Soil Results
Table 5:	Surface Water Parameters
Table 6:	Surface Water Monitoring Results

List of Appendices

Appendix A:	Surrounding Groundwater Bores
Appendix B:	Data from Previous Reports
Appendix C:	Site Photographs
Appendix D	Field Information Sheets
Appendix E:	Results Tables
Appendix F:	Laboratory Reports
Appendix G:	QA/QC Assessment

Acronyms and Abbreviations

 VENM virgin excavated natural material On tables is "not calculated", "no criteria" or "not applicable"
--

Executive Summary

This report presents the findings of a Phase 2 Environmental Site Assessment undertaken on part of the Hydro Aluminium Kurri Kurri (Hydro) owned land known as Parcel 18. Parcel 18 is a rural property comprising approximately 613 ha and is accessed from James Lane off Old Maitland Road, Sawyers Gully and located within the buffer zone and to the north of the Hydro Aluminium Kurri Kurri smelter.

Parcel 18 comprises mainly undisturbed dense bushland. An area of grassed land with limited tree cover and a tributary of the low-lying wetland known as Wentworth Swamp are located on the eastern boundary. There were no structures evident on Parcel 18 during the site walkover.

The objectives of this Phase 2 ESA assessment were to assess the potential for contamination at Parcel 18 based on historical and current land use and to assess the suitability of the site for the proposed environmental conservation (E2) use.

A Phase 1 ESA has previously been completed for the Hydro owned lands including Parcel 18 (ENVIRON (2013b) Phase 1 ESA, Hydro Kurri Kurri Aluminium Smelter). The Phase 1 identified that contamination of Parcel 18 may have occurred from dust deposition due to the proximity of the Hydro smelter, and the potential for illegally dumped materials due to its remote location.

To assess for potential contaminants of concern on Parcel 18, a site walkover was completed and surface soil samples were collected from across the parcel. Sampling of surface waters was not performed for Parcel 18 as there were no sources of contamination from Parcel 18 to the surface water system identified during the assessment. There were no infilled areas, waste stockpiles, dumped waste, buried waste or asbestos containing material identified on the soil surface for Parcel 18.

Surface soil samples from across Parcel 18 were analysed for soluble fluoride. No soil contamination issues were identified at Parcel 18.

Parcel 18 is suitable for the current use and the proposed environmental conservation (E2) land use.

Hydro has separately engaged a NSW EPA-accredited Site Auditor to issue a Site Audit Statement certifying that the site is suitable for the proposed use.

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

This report presents the findings of a Phase 2 Environmental Site Assessment undertaken on part of the Hydro Aluminium Kurri Kurri Pty Limited (Hydro) owned land known as Parcel 18. Parcel 18 is located off James Lane which is accessed from the Old Maitland Road, Sawyers Gully, New South Wales (2321). The location of Parcel 18 is shown in **Figure 1**.

The work has been performed at the request of Hydro Aluminium Kurri Kurri Pty Limited (the "Client").

Hydro is currently evaluating options for the divestment of land parcels for a range of future land uses following the closure of the smelter in May 2014. A Rezoning Masterplan has been developed that identifies Parcel 18 to comprise land suitable for environmental conservation (E2) landuse.

A Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessment has previously been prepared for all Hydro owned lands and evaluated the potential for contamination (ENVIRON 2013b). The Phase 1 identified that contamination of Parcel 18 may have occurred from dust deposition due to the proximity of the Hydro smelter and from illegal dumping due to the remoteness of the area.

It is noted that at the time of the fieldwork, this land parcel was named Ecological Parcel 2 and as such the soil samples reference this name. The parcel was renamed Parcel 18 during the rezoning process.

The location of Parcel 18 in the context of the Rezoning Masterplan is shown in Figure 2.

1.2 Objectives and Scope of Work

The objectives of the assessment were to assess the potential for contamination at Parcel 18 based on historical and current land use and to assess the suitability of Parcel 18 for the purposes of environmental conservation (E2) land use.

The scope of work performed to meet the objectives comprised:

- A review of available information relating to land use to assess the potential for soil, groundwater or surface water contamination arising from historic and current activities;
- A review of published geological, hydrogeological and hydrological data to establish the environmental setting and sensitivity;
- Field work comprising:
 - Collection of surface soil samples to provide a coarse grid assessment to assess the potential for dust deposition from the smelter operations;
 - A site walkover to evaluate other potential locations of buried waste or illegal dumping.
- Data interpretation including comparison against relevant guidelines and a discussion of the findings in terms of human health and environmental risk under the current and future land use scenarios.
- If required, review of options available for remediation or management to render Parcel 18 suitable for the proposed land use.

2 Site Description

2.1 Site Location

Parcel 18 is owned by Hydro Aluminium Kurri Kurri Pty Limited and is located approximately 35km north west of the city of Newcastle and 150km north of Sydney, in New South Wales, Australia. Parcel 18 is accessed from James Lane off Old Maitland Road, Sawyers Gully, New South Wales, Australia. The location of Parcel 18 is shown in **Figure 1**.

Parcel 18 is located within the Buffer Zone of the Hydro Aluminium Kurri Kurri Smelter, to the north west of the smelter. The Buffer Zone is an area of land surrounding the smelter that provides a buffer between the smelter and surrounding communities. Parcel 18 generally comprises bushland, with an area of rural land and limited tree cover on the eastern boundary. The rural land is cleared with ground coverage of grass, a scattering of medium to large trees and is used for cattle grazing. Black Waterholes Creek flows into Wentworth Swamp in the southern portion of Parcel 18.

Parcel 18 is located within the Cessnock Local Government Area and is zoned RU2 – Rural Landscape. A small portion of Wentworth Swamp is zoned E2 – Environmental Conservation under the Cessnock Local Environment Plan 2011.

Subarea	Lot/ DP	Area (ha)	Total Area (ha)
Parcel 18	Lot 1 DP73597 Pt 1	362.1	613.7
	Lot 10 DP1082775 Pt1	9.8	
	Lot 11 DP1082775 Pt1	30.7	
	Lot 316 DP755231	59.3	
	Lot 317 DP755231	53.3	
	Lot 351 DP755231	21.4	
	Lot 352 DP755231	26.8	
	Lot 353 DP755231	23.7	
	Lot 356 DP755231	26.6	

Parcel 18 is approximately 613 hectares (ha) and comprises the lot numbers and development plans listed in **Table 1**:

Land uses surrounding Parcel 18 are as follows:

- North: farmland;
- South: the Smelter site;
- East: farmland;
- West: farmland and bushland.

Parcel 18 is located approximately 230m to the north of the smelter site boundary.

2.2 Site Setting

2.2.1 Topography

Parcel 18 is located in an area of the Buffer Zone that is of higher elevation at approximately 40 m AHD The topography of Parcel 18 is elevated on the western boundary of the parcel at 50m AHD, sloping to the south east where a tributary of Black Waterholes Creek enters the parcel. A small section of Wentworth Swamp is at the eastern boundary of the parcel and is the lowest point (6m AHD) on Parcel 18.

2.2.2 Regional Geology

According to the review of the regional geology described on the Hunter Coal Field Geological Sheet, Parcel 18 is underlain by the Branxton Formation comprising conglomerate, sandstone and siltstone.

Undifferentiated Quaternary alluvium occurs over the surface of low lying areas of Parcel 18 associated with surface water bodies. Quaternary sediments which are associated with Wentworth Swamp and the Hunter River consist of gravel, sand, silt and clay.

2.2.3 Site Hydrology

Surface water from Parcel 18 discharges via infiltration and overland flow to Wentworth Swamp and associated surface water bodies.

The Wentworth Swamp system is within the Fishery Creek Catchment, where declining stream water quality and a reduction in diversity of native plants and animals has occurred due to population growth and development pressures in the last ten years (Hunter-Central Rivers Catchment Management Authority).

2.2.4 Regional Hydrogeology

Regional groundwater is expected to follow topography and flow northeast towards the surface water bodies that discharge to the Hunter River. Locally, groundwater beneath Parcel 18 is expected to flow towards Wentworth Swamp located to the east of the site.

According to the NSW Office of Environment and Heritage (Natural Resource Atlas), there are 31 licensed groundwater abstractions (bores) located approximately 7.5km north east of Parcel 18 at Maitland and South Maitland. Information for eleven bores located in a 5km radius from Parcel 18 has been included in **Appendix A**. The bores are used for monitoring purposes. No further information, such as depth to water or logging information was provided.

The Hunter River Alluvium Groundwater Management Unit (GMU) is an important groundwater resource to the region. Groundwater extraction for irrigation, urban supply, drought supply, stock, domestic and commercial/ industrial use occurs, with volumes in excess of 10,000ML per annum extracted from the Hunter River Alluvium GMU. Aquifer storage and recovery is also an important use of this GMU. It is noted that the Hunter River GMU is not the primary drinking water supply in the region, although the protection of drinking water is a water quality objective for the Hunter River (NSW Water Quality and River Flow Objectives). (www.environment.nsw.gov.au/ieo/Hunter/index.htm).

2.3 Site Sensitivity

The sensitivity of Parcel 18 with respect to surface water and groundwater is considered to be high based on the following:

- Surface water and groundwater discharge into Wentworth Swamp, located within the site, which discharges to the Hunter River within the Fishery Creek Catchment, approximately 5km northeast of Parcel 18 near Maitland.
- Declining stream water quality and a reduction in diversity of native plants and animals has occurred within the Fishery Creek Catchment and water quality down gradient of Parcel 18 has been impacted by historical coal mining;
- The Hunter River GMU is used for irrigation, urban supply, drought supply, stock, domestic and commercial/ industrial use but it is not the main drinking water supply in the region.

3 Site History

Site history investigations included in the Phase 1 ESA (ENVIRON 2013b) for the Hydro Aluminium Kurri Kurri Smelter provided the following historical information about Parcel 18:

- Earliest records (aerial photograph in 1951) showed Parcel 18 comprised bushland with less tree cover around Wentworth Swamp in the east. A track extended from Bishops Bridge Road east towards the south western end of Wentworth Swamp.
- The aerial photographs indicate that there has been little change to the bushland over the central and western portions of Parcel 18. The bushland around Wentworth Swamp in the eastern portion of Parcel 18 has been cleared for agricultural landuse.
- A car body is reportedly buried on Lot 1 DP166625. This information was provided in an interview with Mr Kerry McNaughton, Environmental Manager, Hydro Aluminium Kurri Kurri Smelter.
- Parcel 18 is located approximately 1 km from the smelter boundary and may be impacted from smelter dust deposition.
- The remoteness of Parcel 18 and surrounding bushland may also give rise to illegal dumping though it is noted that the buffer zone area is fenced and regularly monitored by Hydro personnel.

The approximate location of the buried car body is included in Figure 3.

4 **Previous Investigations**

4.1 Soil

Soil sampling undertaken in Parcel 18 as part of the Phase 2 ESA (ENVIRON (November 2012) Phase 2 Environmental Site Assessment, Kurri Kurri Aluminium Smelter) involved the collection of two surface soil samples to assess the potential impact of smelter dust deposition and irrigation of process water in this area. The soil samples (SB30 and SB31) were analysed for a combination of heavy metals, total fluoride and aluminium. The results were below the selected criteria.

Surface soil sampling locations and results are included in **Appendix B**. These results will be discussed further in Section 8.1.

4.2 Surface Water

4.2.1 ENVIRON Previous Investigations

Surface water sampling was undertaken in Parcel 18 as part of additional investigations completed at an area of the smelter known as the capped waste stockpile (ENVIRON (December 2012) Environmental Site Assessment, Alcan Mound, Kurri Kurri Aluminium Smelter). Surface water samples were collected from one location (SW7) at Wentworth Swamp within Parcel 18 and analysed for pH, electrical conductivity, fluoride, free cyanide and aluminium, which are contaminants of concern associated with the capped waste stockpile.

Results for pH and electrical conductivity were consistent with a fresh water stream. Aluminium concentrations exceeded the guideline for the protection of 95% of aquatic ecosystems at the sampling location. Free cyanide concentrations did not exceed the guidelines. Fluoride concentrations exceeded the guidelines for irrigation, stock watering and recreational use of the water.

The concentration of aluminium detected on 9th August 2012 was considered to be anomalous when compared to the sample on 28th September 2012. Additionally, samples collected for analysis of aluminium in Wentworth Swamp in August 2013 and September 2013 found concentrations to be 1.5mg/L and <0.001mg/L (ENVIRON 2013e).

Surface water sampling locations and results tables are included in **Appendix B**. These results are discussed further in **Section 8.1**.

4.2.2 Hydro Routine Monitoring

Routine surface water monitoring is undertaken in Parcel 18 by Hydro on a monthly basis and for the Annual Environmental Management Review (AEMR). Surface water samples are collected from four locations (2, 3, 9 and 44) on Wentworth Swamp and Black Waterholes Creek in Parcel 18. Surface water samples are routinely analysed for pH, electrical conductivity and fluoride. Biannually the samples are also analysed for free cyanide.

Results from routine monitoring between July 2013 and December 2013 were evaluated for this report. Results for pH and electrical conductivity were consistent with a fresh water stream. Fluoride concentrations generally exceeded the criteria for irrigation and stock watering. It is noted that three of the locations were dry in August, September, November and December 2013.

AS130348

Surface water sampling locations and results tables are included in **Appendix B**. These results are discussed further in **Section 8.1**.

5 Sampling and Analytical Quality Plan

5.1 Potential Areas and Contaminants of Concern

Based on Parcel 18 historical information as discussed in **Section 3**, the following areas of concern were identified:

- Smelter dust deposition.
- Illegal dumping.

Potential contaminants of concern associated with the range of previous site activities are:

- Asbestos;
- Fluoride; and
- Aesthetic impacts.

Impacts to surface water and groundwater could occur from soluble contaminants where these are present above background concentrations. Historical site information does not suggest that impacts to surface water and groundwater have occurred. Evaluation of surface water through review of the existing routine monitoring conducted by Hydro has been included and is presented in **Section 4**. Further evaluation of groundwater and surface water is not considered to be warranted at this time and can be undertaken where contaminants in soil are present at levels that are likely to result in impacts to surface water or groundwater.

5.2 Data Quality Objectives and Data Quality Indicators

Data quality objectives (DQOs) and Data Quality Indicators (DQIs) were developed by ENVIRON using the US EPA seven-step DQO process. Completing the seven-step process helps to define the purpose of the assessment and the type, quality and quantity of data needed to inform decisions relating to the assessment of site contamination.

The seven-step DQO process and DQIs are included in Appendix G.

5.3 Sampling Design

The sampling design was optimised following the development of DQOs and DQIs. The sampling design is outlined below. ENVIRON notes that the historical site activities indicate potential contamination to surface soils only. No potential contamination sources to subsurface soils, surface water or groundwater have been identified.

5.3.1 Fluoride

To assess the potential for fluoride in soil from dust deposition from the Hydro Aluminium Kurri Kurri Smelter, surface soil samples were collected at a rate of one sample per 22ha.

The sample density is lower than that suggested in Table A of NSW EPA (1995) Contaminated Sites: Sampling Design Guidelines. The density is considered adequate for the purposes of this investigation for the following reasons:

- aerial dust deposition is likely to be relatively consistent over the surface of the parcel and therefore sampling on a low density will allow for identification of whether or not dust deposition is an issue; and
- in the event that elevated or variable fluoride concentrations are identified, additional sampling will be completed.

Samples were collected by trowel from surface soils on an approximate grid across Parcel 18. Sample locations were logged by GPS.

Soil samples were placed into laboratory-supplied paper bags and stored in an ice-filled cooler for transportation to the laboratory. Soil samples were transported to the laboratory under chain of custody conditions. Intra-laboratory duplicate soil samples were collected at a rate of 10%.

Soil samples were analysed for soluble fluoride, as this is the portion of total fluoride that is available for uptake in receptors including biota, flora, fauna and humans. The laboratory was NATA accredited for the analysis.

5.3.2 Asbestos

To assess the potential for asbestos and other illegally dumped wastes to be present at Parcel 18, a site walkover of accessible areas was completed. ENVIRON consider that dense bushland that is not readily accessible by foot is unlikely to have been accessed for waste dumping.

The location and type of dumped wastes were detailed on Field Information Sheets and logged by GPS. Where asbestos was confidently identified by the field personnel, no sampling was completed. If not, a sample of potential asbestos containing material (ACM) was collected for laboratory analysis. ACM fragments were collected into a zip-lock bag using dedicated disposable gloves.

If required, ACM fragments were analysed for asbestos identification by a laboratory NATA accredited for the analysis.

6 Basis for Assessment Criteria

6.1 Soil

The criteria proposed for the assessment of soil contamination were sourced from the following references:

• NEPC (2013) National Environmental Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Amendment Measure 2013 (No. 1) (NEPM).

The objective of the Phase 2 ESA is to assess soil and surface water contamination at Parcel 18 in relation to risks posed to human health and the environment under the proposed future land use of environmental conservation. As the contaminants of concern are fluoride and asbestos, guidelines for these contaminants under an environmental conservation land use scenario are provided below.

The Health Screening Levels (HSLs) for asbestos are applicable for assessing human health risk via the exposure pathway of inhalation of airborne asbestos and are presented in **Table 2.** The HSLs are generic to all soil types. As there is no HSL for rural or environmental conservation landuse, the HSL for Residential A will be used and is considered conservative.

Table 2: Health screening levels for asbestos contamination in soil (w/w)					
Form of asbestos	Residential A ¹	Residential B ²	Recreational C ³	Commercial/ Industrial D ⁴	
Bonded ACM	0.01%	0.04%	0.02%	0.05%	
FA and AF ¹ (friable asbestos)	0.001%				
All forms of asbestos	No visible asbestos for surface soil				

1. The screening level of 0.001% w/w asbestos in soil for FA and AF (i.e. non-bonded/friable asbestos) only applies where the FA and AF are able to be quantified by gravimetric procedures. This screening level is not applicable to free fibres.

NEPM (2013) do not provide criteria for fluoride in soils in Australia. ENVIRON (2013a) conducted a preliminary level Human Health Risk Assessment (HRA) specific to fluoride in order to derive a specific preliminary screening level for fluoride for the Hydro Aluminium Kurri Kurri Smelter . The screening levels are protective of the range of human receptors are provided in **Table 3**.

Table 3: Site Specific Soil Assessment Criteria (mg/kg) for Fluoride			
Preliminary screening levels			
Land Use Preliminary screening level			
Residential landuse	F 440mg/kg		
Recreational landuse	F 1,200mg/kg		
Commercial/ Industrial landuse	F 17,000mg/kg		

Soil investigation results for the samples taken from a grid formation across Parcel 18 have been compared against the residential land use screening level. The fluoride 'residential land use' screening level is considered to be suitably protective of both 'residential' and 'rural' land use because the exposure pathways (including vegetable ingestion) and behavioural

AS130348

assumptions (e.g. soil ingestion rate) for the child are considered to be identical under residential and rural land use scenarios.

There is a possibility that the rural plots may contain a low density of domestic livestock such as poultry and goats, however there is limited evidence of fluoride accumulation in milk and edible tissues of animals fed high levels of fluorides (ATSDR, 2003; NAS, 1971). Rather, fluoride accumulates primarily (up to approximately 99%) in the skeletal tissues of terrestrial animals that consume fluoride-containing foliage (WHO, 1997; ATSDR, 2003). This assumption is supported by site-specific data collected during the 29th annual cattle survey conducted in March 2012 on cattle located within the site's buffer zone, and surrounding areas (AECOM, 2013). The results of this survey concluded that cattle has had little or no exposure to excess environmental fluoride; skeletal fluoride levels decreased compared to 2011 levels, with all fluoride measurements below the toxic threshold; and all cattle examined were in good health and body condition. Consequently, the residential screening level is considered to be suitably protective of rural land use that may contain a low density of domestic livestock.

Consistent with the guidance provided in the NEPM, the data was assessed against the above adopted site guidelines by:

- Comparing individual concentrations against the relevant guidelines and if discrete samples are in excess of the relevant guideline then;
- Comparing the 95% upper confidence limit (UCL) of mean against the relevant guideline also ensuring that:
 - $\circ\,$ the standard deviation of the results is less than 50% of the relevant investigation or screening level, and
 - o no single value exceed 250% of the relevant investigation or screening level.

7 Results

7.1 Site Walkover

A site walkover was completed to assist with planning the field investigations and assess Parcel 18 for additional areas of imported fill or illegally dumped wastes. Parcel 18 entrance is via the northern smelter boundary or via a locked gate on Bishops Bridge Road. The site is fully fenced.

The majority of Parcel 18 comprises dense bushland with several main access tracks and a number of smaller tracks mainly through the southern portion of the site. One main access track is Bishops Bridge Road, which extends north to south through the site. The two other main access tracks extend east to west in the southern portion of the site, with one track on the southern boundary. The track on the southern boundary follows the transmission power lines alignment. Low areas of these main access tracks have been compacted with bake furnace refractory bricks to permit access in times of high rainfall.

A small portion of Parcel 18 comprises the western extent of Wentworth Swamp surrounded by cleared farmland. This portion is fenced from the remainder of the site and is used for cattle grazing. At the time of the site walkover, a significant portion of the Wentworth Swamp was dry and was accessible by foot.

There was no evidence of waste smelter material or dumped municipal waste on Parcel 18. During the site walkover, Mr Kerry McNaughton from Hydro indicated a car had been buried in the north western corner of the site. The car body was not sighted during the walkover however the approximate location has been included on **Figure 3**.

Photographs are included in **Appendix C**. Field Information Sheets are included in **Appendix D**.

7.2 Soil Investigations

Seven surface soil samples were collected from across Parcel 18 as per the sampling design to assess the potential for fluoride in soil from dust deposition from the Hydro Aluminium Kurri Kurri Smelter as shown in **Figure 3**.

A generalised lithology of the surface soils encountered at Parcel 18 is as follows:

• Topsoil: Sandy silt, orange/ brown with some cobbles, dry.

7.3 Soil Results

Soil analytical results are presented in Tables A and B in **Appendix E** and laboratory reports are included in **Appendix F**. A summary of the soil results is presented in **Table 4**.

Table 4: Summary of Soil Results					
Analyte	No. of Samples	Maximum Concentration (mg/kg)	No. exceeding Site Criteria	Criteria Exceeded (mg/kg)	
Fluoride	27	26	0	-	

The results of surface soil sampling for fluoride demonstrate that surface soils at Parcel 18 have not been impacted by stack particulate fallout from the Hydro Aluminium Smelter.

7.4 Quality Assurance/ Quality Control

A quality assurance assessment for this report is presented in **Appendix G**. An assessment was made of data completeness, comparability, representativeness, precision and accuracy based on field and laboratory considerations, as outlined in NSW DEC (2006) and NSW EPA (2007) guidelines. Overall it is considered that the completed investigation works and the data are of suitable quality to meet the project objectives.

7.5 Bake Furnace Refractory Brick

As described in Section 7.1, bake furnace refractory bricks have been used within Parcel 18 to form roadways through low lying areas that are subject to flooding. Bake furnace refractory bricks are used as an insulating material to line the bake furnace for baking of anodes and are a by-product of aluminium smelting. A chemical assessment of the bricks was undertaken in ENVIRON (2012) Application for Exemption – Refractory Brick. The chemical characterisation was undertaken on twenty composite samples and included analysis for metals, non-metallic inorganics and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. The average chemical concentrations have been compared against NEPM (2013) criteria in **Table 5**. None of the average concentrations exceed the NEPM (2013) criteria for residential landuse and bake furnace refractory brick is not considered to be of environmental concern.

Analyte	PQL	Average	HIL A - NEPM (2013)	Average > NEPM
			Criteria	(2013)
Metals	1			
Arsenic	4	-	100	No
Beryllium	1	-	60	No
Boron	3	26	4500	No
Cadmium	0.5	0.5	20	No
Chromium	1	12	100	No
Lead	1	5	300	No
Molybdenum	1	1	-	No
Nickel	1	5	400	No
Selenium	2	-	200	No
Tin	1	1	-	No
Mercury	0.1	-	40	No
Silver	1	-	-	No
Copper	1	12	6000	No
Zinc	1	7	7400	No
Vanadium	0.5	20	-	No
Non Metallic Inorg	anics	÷		
Total Fluoride	50	191	440*	No
Total Cyanide	0.5	-	-	No
Sulphur	1	1871	-	No
Total Organic	4	1010	-	No
Carbon	1	1910		
Chloride	1	-	-	No
Electrical		000	-	No
Conductivity	1	902		
pH	1	9	-	No
Polycyclic Aromat	ic Hydrocarbo	ons		
Sum of reported PAH	0.1	-	300	No

All units are mg/kg on a dry weight basis. *Preliminary Screening Level for residential landuse from ENVIRON (2013a)

8 Site Characterisation

8.1 Conceptual Site Model

Parcel 18 predominantly consists of dense bushland, with a small portion of cleared farmland around Wentworth Swamp in the eastern portion of the site. Parcel 18 is bound by Bishops Bridge Road, and bushland on the western boundary, farmland on the northern and eastern boundaries and the smelter on the southern boundary.

Historical information indicates that the bushland and portion of Wentworth Swamp on Parcel 18 has not been developed and no evidence of development was identified during the site walkover.

One car body is understood to have been buried in the north western corner of the site. No other dumped wastes were observed during the site walkover. The location of the car body is not accurately known, and there was no surface evidence. As the area is proposed to be used for conservation purpose, and the car body does not represent an environmental or aesthetic impact, no recommendations for removal are considered to be required.

Bake furnace refractory bricks sourced from the smelter have been used to compact low areas of the main access tracks through Parcel 18. Chemical analysis of bake furnace refractory bricks has found all concentrations to be below the relevant site guidelines.

Parcel 18 has not been affected by dust deposition of fluoride from the Hydro Aluminium Kurri Kurri Smelter, with fluoride concentrations in surface soils collected during this investigation and during previous investigations below the preliminary screening level for residential land use. In addition, there is currently no source of aerial fluoride emissions as the smelter is in a care and maintenance mode.

Results of routine surface water sampling conducted by Hydro indicated that Parcel 18 has marginally elevated concentrations of fluoride in the northern portion of Wentworth Swamp. ENVIRON completed an ecological risk assessment (ENVIRON (2013d) Tier 2 Ecological Risk Assessment, Kurri Kurri Smelter), which involved an assessment of surface water quality upstream of Parcel 18 in relation to fluoride. The fluoride concentrations measured in surface water at Parcel 18 are broadly similar to fluoride concentrations used during the ecological risk assessment and as such are not expected to pose an unacceptable risk to aquatic species.

Parcel 18 is considered suitable for the current use and the proposed environmental conservation (E2) landuse.

9 Conclusions and Recommendations

This report presents the findings of a Phase 2 Environmental Site Assessment undertaken on part of the Hydro Aluminium Kurri Kurri (Hydro) owned land known as Parcel 18. Parcel 18 is a rural property comprising approximately 613 ha and is accessed from James Lane off Old Maitland Road, Sawyers Gully and located within the buffer zone and to the north of the Hydro Aluminium Kurri Kurri smelter.

Parcel 18 comprises mainly undisturbed dense bushland. An area of grassed land with limited tree cover and a tributary of the low-lying wetland known as Wentworth Swamp are located on the eastern boundary. There were no structures evident on Parcel 18 during the site walkover.

The objectives of this Phase 2 ESA assessment were to assess the potential for contamination at Parcel 18 based on historical and current land use and to assess the suitability of the site for the proposed environmental conservation (E2) use.

A Phase 1 ESA has previously been completed for the Hydro owned lands including Parcel 18 (ENVIRON (2013b) Phase 1 ESA, Hydro Kurri Kurri Aluminium Smelter). The Phase 1 identified that contamination of Parcel 18 may have occurred from dust deposition due to the proximity of the Hydro smelter, and the potential for illegally dumped materials due to its remote location.

To assess for potential contaminants of concern on Parcel 18, a site walkover was completed and surface soil samples were collected from across the parcel. Sampling of surface waters was not performed for Parcel 18 as there were no sources of contamination from Parcel 18 to the surface water system identified during the assessment. There were no infilled areas, waste stockpiles, dumped waste, buried waste or asbestos containing material identified on the soil surface for Parcel 18.

Surface soil samples from across Parcel 18 were analysed for soluble fluoride. No soil contamination issues were identified at Parcel 18.

Parcel 18 is suitable for the current use and the proposed environmental conservation (E2) land use.

Hydro has separately engaged a NSW EPA-accredited Site Auditor to issue a Site Audit Statement certifying that the site is suitable for the proposed use.

10 References

ANZECC & NHMRC (1992) Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for the Assessment and Management of Contaminated Sites.

ENVIRON (2012) Environmental Site Assessment, Alcan Mound, Kurri Kurri Aluminium Smelter).

ENVIRON (2013a) Preliminary Screening Level, Health Risk Assessment for Fluoride and Aluminium, Part of the Kurri Kurri Aluminium Smelter, Hart Road, Loxford.

ENVIRON (2013b) Phase 1 ESA, Hydro Kurri Kurri Aluminium Smelter.

ENVIRON (2013d) Tier 2 Ecological Risk Assessment, Kurri Kurri Smelter.

ENVIRON (2013e) Phase 2 Environmental Site Assessment, Parcel 17.

Hunter Catchment Management Trust (2000) Wallis and Fishery Creeks Total Catchment Management Strategy.

NEPC (2013) National Environmental Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Amendment Measure (NEPM).

NSW DEC (2006) Guidelines for the NSW Site Auditor Scheme (Second Edition).

NSW DEC (2007) Guidelines for the Assessment and Management of Groundwater Contamination.

11 Limitations

ENVIRON Australia prepared this report in accordance with the scope of work as outlined in our proposal to Hydro Aluminium Kurri Kurri Pty Ltd dated 18 September 2013 and in accordance with our understanding and interpretation of current regulatory standards.

A representative program of sampling and laboratory analyses was undertaken as part of this investigation, based on past and present known uses of Parcel 18. While every care has been taken, concentrations of contaminants measured may not be representative of conditions between the locations sampled and investigated. We cannot therefore preclude the presence of materials that may be hazardous.

Site conditions may change over time. This report is based on conditions encountered at Parcel 18 at the time of the report and ENVIRON disclaims responsibility for any changes that may have occurred after this time.

The conclusions presented in this report represent ENVIRON's professional judgment based on information made available during the course of this assignment and are true and correct to the best of ENVIRON's knowledge as at the date of the assessment.

ENVIRON did not independently verify all of the written or oral information provided to ENVIRON during the course of this investigation. While ENVIRON has no reason to doubt the accuracy of the information provided to it, the report is complete and accurate only to the extent that the information provided to ENVIRON was itself complete and accurate.

This report does not purport to give legal advice. This advice can only be given by qualified legal advisors.

11.1 User Reliance

This report has been prepared exclusively for Hydro Aluminium Kurri Kurri Pty Ltd and may not be relied upon by any other person or entity without ENVIRON's express written permission.

Figures







Appendix A

Surrounding Groundwater Bores

Groundwater Bores near Parcel 18

Map created with NSW Natural Resource Atlas - http://www.nratlas.nsw.gov.au Monday, January 13, 2014



0

16 Km

Custodian

Legend	
Symbol	Layer
0	Cities and large towns
Cowra	Populated places
•	Towns
•	Groundwater Bores
	Catchment Management Authority boundaries
\sim	Major rivers
 Primary/arterial road Motoruway/freeway Railwaγ Runway Contour Background 	Topographic base map
Convight @ 2014 New South Wales Government, Man has been compiled from y	

Copyright © 2014 New South Wales Government. Map has been compiled from various sources and may contain errors or omissions. No representation is made as to its accuracy or suitability.

Print Report

Groundwater Works Summary

For information on the meaning of fields please see <u>Glossary</u> Document Generated on Monday, January 6, 2014

Works Details Site Details Form A Licensed Construction Water Bearing Zones Drillers Log

Work Requested -- GW079088

Works Details (top)

GROUNDWATER NUMBER GW079088 LIC-NUM **AUTHORISED-PURPOSES** INTENDED-PURPOSES MONITORING BORE WORK-TYPE Bore WORK-STATUS (Unknown) CONSTRUCTION-METHOD (Unknown) **OWNER-TYPE** (Unknown) **COMMENCE-DATE COMPLETION-DATE FINAL-DEPTH (metres) DRILLED-DEPTH (metres) CONTRACTOR-NAME DRILLER-NAME** PROPERTY **GWMA GW-ZONE** STANDING-WATER-LEVEL SALINITY YIELD

Site Details (top)

REGION 20 - HUNTER **RIVER-BASIN AREA-DISTRICT CMA-MAP GRID-ZONE** SCALE **ELEVATION ELEVATION-SOURCE** NORTHING 6371306.00 EASTING 358054.00 LATITUDE 32 47' 13" 151 29' 3" LONGITUDE **GS-MAP**

56

AMG-ZONE COORD-SOURCE REMARK

Form-A (top)

no details

Licensed (top)

no details

Water Bearing Zones (top)

no details

Drillers Log (top)

no details

Warning To Clients: This raw data has been supplied to the Department of Infrastructure, Planning and Natural Resources (DIPNR) by drillers, licensees and other sources. The DIPNR does not verify the accuracy of this data. The data is presented for use by you at your own risk. You should consider verifying this data before relying on it. Professional hydrogeological advice should be sought in interpreting and using this data.

Print Report

Groundwater Works Summary

For information on the meaning of fields please see <u>Glossary</u> Document Generated on Monday, January 6, 2014

Works Details Site Details Form A Licensed Construction Water Bearing Zones Drillers Log

Work Requested -- GW079090

Works Details (top)

GROUNDWATER NUMBER GW079090 LIC-NUM **AUTHORISED-PURPOSES** INTENDED-PURPOSES MONITORING BORE WORK-TYPE Bore WORK-STATUS (Unknown) CONSTRUCTION-METHOD (Unknown) **OWNER-TYPE** (Unknown) **COMMENCE-DATE COMPLETION-DATE FINAL-DEPTH (metres) DRILLED-DEPTH (metres) CONTRACTOR-NAME DRILLER-NAME** PROPERTY **GWMA GW-ZONE** STANDING-WATER-LEVEL SALINITY YIELD

Site Details (top)

REGION 20 - HUNTER **RIVER-BASIN AREA-DISTRICT CMA-MAP GRID-ZONE** SCALE **ELEVATION ELEVATION-SOURCE** NORTHING 6371368.00 EASTING 358105.00 32 47' 11" LATITUDE LONGITUDE 151 29' 5" **GS-MAP**

56

AMG-ZONE COORD-SOURCE REMARK

Form-A (top)

no details

Licensed (top)

no details

Water Bearing Zones (top)

no details

Drillers Log (top)

no details

Warning To Clients: This raw data has been supplied to the Department of Infrastructure, Planning and Natural Resources (DIPNR) by drillers, licensees and other sources. The DIPNR does not verify the accuracy of this data. The data is presented for use by you at your own risk. You should consider verifying this data before relying on it. Professional hydrogeological advice should be sought in interpreting and using this data.

Print Report

Groundwater Works Summary

For information on the meaning of fields please see <u>Glossary</u> Document Generated on Monday, January 6, 2014

Works Details Site Details Form A Licensed Construction Water Bearing Zones Drillers Log

Work Requested -- GW079092

Works Details (top)

GROUNDWATER NUMBER GW079092 LIC-NUM **AUTHORISED-PURPOSES** INTENDED-PURPOSES MONITORING BORE WORK-TYPE Bore WORK-STATUS (Unknown) CONSTRUCTION-METHOD (Unknown) **OWNER-TYPE** (Unknown) **COMMENCE-DATE COMPLETION-DATE FINAL-DEPTH (metres) DRILLED-DEPTH (metres) CONTRACTOR-NAME DRILLER-NAME** PROPERTY **GWMA GW-ZONE** STANDING-WATER-LEVEL SALINITY YIELD

Site Details (top)

REGION 20 - HUNTER **RIVER-BASIN AREA-DISTRICT CMA-MAP GRID-ZONE** SCALE **ELEVATION ELEVATION-SOURCE** NORTHING 6371429.00 EASTING 358078.00 LATITUDE 32 47' 9" 151 29' 4" LONGITUDE **GS-MAP**

56

AMG-ZONE COORD-SOURCE REMARK

Form-A (top)

no details

Licensed (top)

no details

Water Bearing Zones (top)

no details

Drillers Log (top)

no details

Warning To Clients: This raw data has been supplied to the Department of Infrastructure, Planning and Natural Resources (DIPNR) by drillers, licensees and other sources. The DIPNR does not verify the accuracy of this data. The data is presented for use by you at your own risk. You should consider verifying this data before relying on it. Professional hydrogeological advice should be sought in interpreting and using this data.
Groundwater Works Summary

For information on the meaning of fields please see <u>Glossary</u> Document Generated on Monday, January 6, 2014

Works Details Site Details Form A Licensed Construction Water Bearing Zones Drillers Log

Work Requested -- GW079093

Works Details (top)

GROUNDWATER NUMBER GW079093 LIC-NUM **AUTHORISED-PURPOSES** INTENDED-PURPOSES MONITORING BORE WORK-TYPE Bore WORK-STATUS (Unknown) CONSTRUCTION-METHOD (Unknown) **OWNER-TYPE** (Unknown) **COMMENCE-DATE COMPLETION-DATE FINAL-DEPTH (metres) DRILLED-DEPTH (metres) CONTRACTOR-NAME DRILLER-NAME** PROPERTY **GWMA GW-ZONE** STANDING-WATER-LEVEL SALINITY YIELD

Site Details (top)

REGION 20 - HUNTER **RIVER-BASIN AREA-DISTRICT CMA-MAP GRID-ZONE** SCALE **ELEVATION ELEVATION-SOURCE** NORTHING 6371460.00 EASTING 358078.00 LATITUDE 32 47' 8" 151 29' 4" LONGITUDE **GS-MAP**

AMG-ZONE COORD-SOURCE REMARK

Form-A (top)

no details

Licensed (top)

no details

Water Bearing Zones (top)

no details

Drillers Log (top)

no details

Groundwater Works Summary

For information on the meaning of fields please see <u>Glossary</u> Document Generated on Monday, January 6, 2014

Works Details Site Details Form A Licensed Construction Water Bearing Zones Drillers Log

Work Requested -- GW079094

Works Details (top)

GROUNDWATER NUMBER GW079094 LIC-NUM **AUTHORISED-PURPOSES** INTENDED-PURPOSES MONITORING BORE WORK-TYPE Bore WORK-STATUS (Unknown) CONSTRUCTION-METHOD (Unknown) **OWNER-TYPE** (Unknown) **COMMENCE-DATE COMPLETION-DATE FINAL-DEPTH (metres) DRILLED-DEPTH (metres) CONTRACTOR-NAME DRILLER-NAME** PROPERTY **GWMA GW-ZONE** STANDING-WATER-LEVEL SALINITY YIELD

Site Details (top)

REGION 20 - HUNTER **RIVER-BASIN AREA-DISTRICT CMA-MAP GRID-ZONE** SCALE **ELEVATION ELEVATION-SOURCE** NORTHING 6371462.00 EASTING 358234.00 LATITUDE 32 47' 8" 151 29' 10" LONGITUDE **GS-MAP**

AMG-ZONE COORD-SOURCE REMARK

Form-A (top)

no details

Licensed (top)

no details

Water Bearing Zones (top)

no details

Drillers Log (top)

no details

Groundwater Works Summary

For information on the meaning of fields please see <u>Glossary</u> Document Generated on Monday, January 6, 2014

Works Details Site Details Form A Licensed Construction Water Bearing Zones Drillers Log

Work Requested -- GW079096

Works Details (top)

GROUNDWATER NUMBER GW079096 LIC-NUM **AUTHORISED-PURPOSES** INTENDED-PURPOSES MONITORING BORE WORK-TYPE Bore WORK-STATUS (Unknown) CONSTRUCTION-METHOD (Unknown) **OWNER-TYPE** (Unknown) **COMMENCE-DATE COMPLETION-DATE FINAL-DEPTH (metres) DRILLED-DEPTH (metres) CONTRACTOR-NAME DRILLER-NAME** PROPERTY **GWMA GW-ZONE** STANDING-WATER-LEVEL SALINITY YIELD

Site Details (top)

REGION 20 - HUNTER **RIVER-BASIN AREA-DISTRICT CMA-MAP GRID-ZONE** SCALE **ELEVATION ELEVATION-SOURCE** NORTHING 6371707.00 EASTING 358152.00 LATITUDE 32 47' 0" 151 29' 7" LONGITUDE **GS-MAP**

AMG-ZONE COORD-SOURCE REMARK

Form-A (top)

no details

Licensed (top)

no details

Water Bearing Zones (top)

no details

Drillers Log (top)

no details

Groundwater Works Summary

For information on the meaning of fields please see <u>Glossary</u> Document Generated on Monday, January 6, 2014

Works Details Site Details Form A Licensed Construction Water Bearing Zones Drillers Log

Work Requested -- GW079097

Works Details (top)

GROUNDWATER NUMBER GW079097 LIC-NUM **AUTHORISED-PURPOSES** INTENDED-PURPOSES MONITORING BORE WORK-TYPE Bore WORK-STATUS (Unknown) CONSTRUCTION-METHOD (Unknown) **OWNER-TYPE** (Unknown) **COMMENCE-DATE COMPLETION-DATE FINAL-DEPTH (metres) DRILLED-DEPTH (metres) CONTRACTOR-NAME DRILLER-NAME** PROPERTY **GWMA GW-ZONE** STANDING-WATER-LEVEL SALINITY YIELD

Site Details (top)

REGION 20 - HUNTER **RIVER-BASIN AREA-DISTRICT CMA-MAP GRID-ZONE** SCALE **ELEVATION ELEVATION-SOURCE** NORTHING 6371679.00 EASTING 358335.00 LATITUDE 32 47' 1" 151 29' 14" LONGITUDE **GS-MAP**

AMG-ZONE COORD-SOURCE REMARK

Form-A (top)

no details

Licensed (top)

no details

Water Bearing Zones (top)

no details

Drillers Log (top)

no details

Groundwater Works Summary

For information on the meaning of fields please see <u>Glossary</u> Document Generated on Monday, January 6, 2014

Works Details Site Details Form A Licensed Construction Water Bearing Zones Drillers Log

Work Requested -- GW079099

Works Details (top)

GROUNDWATER NUMBER GW079099 LIC-NUM **AUTHORISED-PURPOSES INTENDED-PURPOSES** WORK-TYPE Bore WORK-STATUS (Unknown) CONSTRUCTION-METHOD (Unknown) **OWNER-TYPE** (Unknown) **COMMENCE-DATE COMPLETION-DATE FINAL-DEPTH (metres) DRILLED-DEPTH (metres) CONTRACTOR-NAME DRILLER-NAME** PROPERTY **GWMA GW-ZONE** STANDING-WATER-LEVEL SALINITY YIELD

Site Details (top)

20 - HUNTER REGION **RIVER-BASIN AREA-DISTRICT CMA-MAP GRID-ZONE** SCALE **ELEVATION ELEVATION-SOURCE** NORTHING 6371003.00 358448.00 EASTING LATITUDE 32 47' 23" 151 29' 18" LONGITUDE **GS-MAP**

AMG-ZONE COORD-SOURCE REMARK

Form-A (top)

no details

Licensed (top)

no details

Water Bearing Zones (top)

no details

Drillers Log (top)

no details

Groundwater Works Summary

For information on the meaning of fields please see <u>Glossary</u> Document Generated on Monday, January 6, 2014

Works Details Site Details Form A Licensed Construction Water Bearing Zones Drillers Log

Work Requested -- GW079101

Works Details (top)

GROUNDWATER NUMBER GW079101 LIC-NUM **AUTHORISED-PURPOSES INTENDED-PURPOSES** WORK-TYPE Bore WORK-STATUS (Unknown) CONSTRUCTION-METHOD (Unknown) **OWNER-TYPE** (Unknown) **COMMENCE-DATE COMPLETION-DATE FINAL-DEPTH (metres) DRILLED-DEPTH (metres) CONTRACTOR-NAME DRILLER-NAME** PROPERTY **GWMA GW-ZONE** STANDING-WATER-LEVEL SALINITY YIELD

Site Details (top)

REGION 20 - HUNTER **RIVER-BASIN AREA-DISTRICT CMA-MAP GRID-ZONE** SCALE **ELEVATION ELEVATION-SOURCE** NORTHING 6371680.00 EASTING 358387.00 LATITUDE 32 47' 1" 151 29' 16" LONGITUDE **GS-MAP**

AMG-ZONE COORD-SOURCE REMARK

Form-A (top)

no details

Licensed (top)

no details

Water Bearing Zones (top)

no details

Drillers Log (top)

no details

Groundwater Works Summary

For information on the meaning of fields please see <u>Glossary</u> Document Generated on Monday, January 6, 2014

Works Details Site Details Form A Licensed Construction Water Bearing Zones Drillers Log

Work Requested -- GW079102

Works Details (top)

GROUNDWATER NUMBER GW079102 LIC-NUM **AUTHORISED-PURPOSES INTENDED-PURPOSES** WORK-TYPE Bore WORK-STATUS (Unknown) CONSTRUCTION-METHOD (Unknown) **OWNER-TYPE** (Unknown) **COMMENCE-DATE COMPLETION-DATE FINAL-DEPTH (metres) DRILLED-DEPTH (metres) CONTRACTOR-NAME DRILLER-NAME** PROPERTY **GWMA GW-ZONE** STANDING-WATER-LEVEL SALINITY YIELD

Site Details (top)

REGION 20 - HUNTER **RIVER-BASIN AREA-DISTRICT CMA-MAP GRID-ZONE** SCALE **ELEVATION ELEVATION-SOURCE** NORTHING 6371685.00 EASTING 358725.00 LATITUDE 32 47' 1" 151 29' 29" LONGITUDE **GS-MAP**

AMG-ZONE COORD-SOURCE REMARK

Form-A (top)

no details

Licensed (top)

no details

Water Bearing Zones (top)

no details

Drillers Log (top)

no details

Groundwater Works Summary

For information on the meaning of fields please see <u>Glossary</u> Document Generated on Monday, January 6, 2014

Works Details Site Details Form A Licensed Construction Water Bearing Zones Drillers Log

Work Requested -- GW079103

Works Details (top)

GROUNDWATER NUMBER GW079103 LIC-NUM **AUTHORISED-PURPOSES INTENDED-PURPOSES** WORK-TYPE Bore WORK-STATUS (Unknown) CONSTRUCTION-METHOD (Unknown) **OWNER-TYPE** (Unknown) **COMMENCE-DATE COMPLETION-DATE FINAL-DEPTH (metres) DRILLED-DEPTH (metres) CONTRACTOR-NAME DRILLER-NAME** PROPERTY **GWMA GW-ZONE** STANDING-WATER-LEVEL SALINITY YIELD

Site Details (top)

REGION 20 - HUNTER **RIVER-BASIN AREA-DISTRICT CMA-MAP GRID-ZONE** SCALE **ELEVATION ELEVATION-SOURCE** NORTHING 6371530.00 EASTING 358675.00 LATITUDE 32 47' 6" LONGITUDE 151 29' 27" **GS-MAP**

AMG-ZONE COORD-SOURCE REMARK

Form-A (top)

no details

Licensed (top)

no details

Water Bearing Zones (top)

no details

Drillers Log (top)

no details

Appendix B

Data From Previous Reports

AS130348

TABLE B-1 Soil Analytical Results for the Buffer Zone

Sample Identification			Guideline		SB30	SB31
Sample Depth (m)	PQL	HIL 'A' ^A	S/Stn ^B	EILs ^C	0-0.05	0-0.05
Date		HIL 'A'	S/Stn	EILS	12/04/2012	12/04/2012
Sample Profile					TOPSOIL	TOPSOIL
PAEC Sampled					General	General
Sample collected by					FR	FR
Metals						
Aluminium	50				9500	2220
Arsenic	1	100		20	3.8	0.4
Cadmium	0.1	20		3	<0.1	0.1
Chromium	1	100		1	15.6	2.3
Copper	2	1000		100	0.9	1.8
Nickel	1	600		NA	4.4	4.4
Lead	2	300		600	10.8	12.4
Zinc	5	7000		200	8.3	10.8
Mercury	0.05	15		1	<0.1	<0.1
Fluoride	40	400*			110	120

All results are in units of mg/kg.

Blank Cell indicates testing was not completed

PQL = Practical Quantitation Limit.

^A NEPM 1999 HIL 'A' (Low Density Residential)

^B NSWEPA Guidelines for Assessing Service Station Sites, 1994

^C NEPC NEPM Schedule B (1) 1999. Table 5-A, EILs

Guidelines reported for Chromium are for Chromium VI

Results shown in shading are in excess of the primary acceptance criteria: HIL "A" or the Service Station Guidelines

TABLE B-2 Surface Water Analytical Results

Sample Identification	DOI		Guideline		SW7	SW7
Date	PQL	95% Fresh ^A	Irrigation	Stock	9/8/12	28/9/12
Depth to Water (mAHD)					Surface	Surface
Sample Appearance					Clear	Clear
Sample collected by					KJG	SC
Analytes						
рН		6.5-8			6.7	5.9
Electrical Conductivity			4500-7700		1500	2600
Soluble Fluoride	0.1	1.5 *	1	2	3.7	3.5
Total Cyanide	0.004				0.026	0.16
Free Cyanide	0.004		0.007		0.007	< 0.004
Total Aluminium pH>6.5	0.01	9 *	5	5	150 ~	1.1
Calcium	0.5				15	29
Potassium	0.5				6.3	7.8
Sodium	0.5				230	460
Magnesium	0.5				30	68
Hydroxide Alkalinity	5				<5	<5
Bicarbonate Alkalinity	5				19	18
Cabonate Alkalinity	5				<5	<5
Total Alkalinity	5				19	18
Sulphate	1				43	150
Chloride	1				400	740
Ionic Balance	%				2.9	5.7

All results in mg/L

PQL = Practical Quantitation Limit.

^A ANZECC 2000 95% Protection Level for Receiving Water Type

Guidelines in *italics* are low level reliability guidelines

* based on site specific recreational guideline (ENVIRON 2013)

NHMRC guidelines for total cyanide are based on cyanogen chloride (as cyanide).

Results shaded grey are in excess of the primary acceptance criteria: ANZECC 95%, NHMRC

~ Result considered anomalous

Table B3 Surface Water Quality around the Hydro Aluminium Kurri Kurri Smelter for 2013

		around	une my
MONTH		2	9
January	pH Conductivity (uS/cm) Fluoride (mg/L)	5.1 5200 5.1	dry dry dry
February	pH Conductivity (uS/cm) Fluoride (mg/L)		5.8 970 3.5
March	pH Conductivity (uS/cm) Fluoride (mg/L) Free Cyanide (mg/L)		6.7 230 0.6
April	pH Conductivity (uS/cm) Fluoride (mg/L)	6.9 1100 2.8	6.8 740 2.0
Мау	pH Conductivity (uS/cm) Fluoride (mg/L)		6.2 930 2.7
June	pH Conductivity (uS/cm) Fluoride (mg/L) Free Cyanide (mg/L)		4.6 1200 2.9
July	pH Conductivity (uS/cm) Fluoride (mg/L)	6.6 1000 2.6	5.3 1300 5.3
August	pH Conductivity (uS/cm) Fluoride (mg/L)		4.5 1400 2.5
September	pH Conductivity (uS/cm) Fluoride (mg/L) Free Cyanide (mg/L)		4.0 1700 2.0
October	pH Conductivity (uS/cm) Fluoride (mg/L)	6.6 2800 3.2	3.8 2300 2.8
November	pH Conductivity (uS/cm) Fluoride (mg/L)		
December	pH Conductivity (uS/cm) Fluoride (mg/L) Free Cyanide (mg/L)		

Appendix C Site Photographs

AS130348



Photo 1: Eucalypts and dense scrubland in the vicinity of soil sampling site SF6.



Photo 2: Photograph of grass pasture and creek in the vicinity of soil sampling site SF15.

Title:	Phase 2 ESA	Approved:	Project-Nr.:	Date:
Site:	Parcel 18	MM	AS130348	May 2014
Client:	Hydro Aluminium Kurri Kurri		13 🖓	VIRON



Photo 3: Photograph of woodland in the vicinity of soil sampling site SF8.

Title:	Phase 2 ESA	Approved:	Project-Nr.:	Date:
Site:	Parcel 18	MM	AS130348	May 2014
Client:	Hydro Aluminium Kurri Kurri		13 🖓	VVIRON

Appendix D

Field Investigation Sheets

AS130348

Site Walkover Checklist

			23/10	liz	11:00 -	14:00
Project No.: AS (30348	Date and Time:	24/10	113	10.30 -	13.00
Land Parcel: Eco	>2	Weather: Dry	, high	20°C	, wind (-8 m/s
Lot and DP: Lot	1 DP166625	Environ Personn		Mand		
Sicherengion				~		
Topography	Sloping to SE	corner.	Woodla Screek Same	open	grassle	Q
Surface Geology	some compresse	& soil,	Same 1	1005 e	brown s	pil
Fill evident?	No	6 8 T N				
Hummocky ground?	No					
Structures on site?	No					
Location of structures	NÍA					
Building materials used in structures	NIA					
Asbestos debris on site?	None identifi	red				
Location of asbestos debris?	NIA					
Volume of asbestos debris?	NA		·	- · ·		
Point of Interest		Easting	1. 3. 3. 4.	Modeling		
	- off James he		157733	Northing	74050	
/	·····					
			Francis Sta			
Soil sample	sites - 1574	H → 1583 1	87,89	91,92	94,98-	≥ 1601
· Photos of t	inical vegetati	1605,160-	5.88	190	95->97	1
and topogra	tphp 1602,	<u>1604, 1606</u>	, 1618'.	1620	1	
• Deal fish	bestream of wa	ster Dody	1593!			
Miccol month and		0 0			1	
risk and r	med aver 2 c esuitant traff	days du	e TO	busht	re	
area.		/				
	1kauer -32.7		151.4	8200	8	
Cilenn from 27 soil son	Hydro as es bles for fluc		ilysis	colle	cted.	
	1		1			
/mx. M.	Manditch.					

ъ.,

PRC	PROJECT SAMPLE REGISTER	AMPLE		STER	Eco2	02			
Project No		As 130348		Project Name		Hydro	Date commenced 23	23/10/13	
Location	ion Kurri	Kuri		Refer to Daily	log for w	Refer to Daily log for weather details	Date completed 24	24/10/13	
#	Sample Name	Sampled by	Easting	Northing	Depth	Description (soil type, moisture, colour, foreign content, signs of contamination) or 'refer to log'	noisture, colour, foreign ination) or 'refer to log'	Quality Assurance	Comments (eg lab analyse)
-	EC02 - 5 F	л И И	32. 76092	151 . 47699	01		dry, loose		
8	Eco2 - 5F2		32.76149		N 4	lear mulch	dry.		
ñ	EC02- SF3		32.01		x	Heary treed Heary leaf	1. Scrub 1.Her, Sawon the	ber adi	cet.
4	Ec 02 - 5 F 4		32.76463	<u> </u>	6	er SF	2. loose soil.		nananananan "umu ya sa", "unu ya sa daga daga daga daga daga daga daga
Ŋ	- SFS		32.76555	<u> </u>	S	as par SFL.	Charcoal. Ny Ar Soil.		
9	- 556		32.76932	151. 47663	$\overline{\mathcal{N}}$	going up slop	e. With		
7	- SF7		32. 75934	06987 151	15	Trees, heary or Little exposed	by grass caver		
ø	- SF8		32. 769746		15	1 (25	16 than otler sites soil. Loose soil, 1	s still.	
Ø	- 5F9		32. 77036	151.	01	Pasture area sound	shallow Soil x root I me.		
10	- SF10	\geq	32, 77139	151 . 48903	1 S	le l	Dead	0 vp 1	
Page	Page <u>/</u> of <u>3</u>					5/1	ooding 10 months ago		Date 25/10/13

<u>_</u>

C:\AS130348 Hydro buffer zone fieldwork\Sample Fegister.docx

#									
#	Location Kurri Kurri	Kurri		Refer to Daily	log for v	Refer to Daily log for weather details	Date completed	24/10/13	
	Sample Name	Sampled by	Easting	Northing	Depth	Description (soil type, moisture, colour, foreign content, signs of contamination) or 'refer to log'	oisture, colour, foreign nation) or 'refer to log'	Quality Assurance	Comments (eg lab analyse)
-	ECO2 - SFU	YM	32. 77641	151	0	Pasture drainage line to wettand. Abave water mark Trees	ige line to water mark T	rees	
7	- SF12		32.77670	151. 48249	γ	Ory pasture. Open level ground Rost Zone. Loose soil	Open level gi	ound.	
r	- SFB		32.84	151. 47361	01	Some trees.	Heary scrub th. Leaf lith		
4	- sF14		77957	151.	0]	Creek bed. No wattr, Pine reedles Some escaly of S, Leaf lifter	wath i Pine i Leaf lither	reedle S	
S	-5415		32. 77990	32. 151. 77990 48337	01	Adjaced to cre Plooding zae.	et bed out a	R Some ever	ad ypro
9	- 5F16		16186	48428	2	Scrub and hearity treed , Dup 2	early treed	· Dup 2	
24/10 7	- 5 F17		22.12	151.	01	Heary Scrub a trees. Lear litar Pine readles	trees. Leaf 1	4ar	aps real
ø	- 5F18		32. 76978	151. 46700	S	Henry scrub a round	- trees. d. Clay. Poss	ible waterwa	÷ 🛌
6	- SF19		32.76921	151. 46329	01	Down sloppe to the wy scrub e trades that	Heny scrub c	Her.	
9	- 5720	>	32.	151. 45933	2	Mod service the calerage arass & leaf litter. Loose	Her. Loose s	soil.	

C:\AS130348 Hydro buffer zone fieldwork\Sample register.docx

Eco 2

PROJECT SAMPLE REGISTER

-

					Eco	2	24/10/13	2	
*	Sample Name	Sampled by	Easting	Northing	Depth	Description (soil type, moisture, colour, foreign content, signs of contamination) or 'refer to log'	Quality Assurance	Comments (eg lab analyse)	
	EC02 - SF21	MW	32 - 77588	181 - 181	У	Loose soil Leaf litter. Savad lage gums. Moderate scrub	crub.		
	- 5522		82.8	151.	k.	rold frees. Small rub. Sandy soil. (S. L.	جرح	
	- 5F23		32, 77 806	-	Sontag	Hydro stack visible Scrub Brass. Medium trees . Low tree	Ub e spase grass ca	ieaf litter.	Sandy Due 3
	- SF24		32. 79081	15854 46854		Paparback trees a scrub. Loc soil. Mod lead litter. Fire score	se soundy	Scrub has regroun	<. /
	- SF25		32.2	151	S	Hold de se scrub. Licher . déone lear litter. No sand a	at this site.	>	
	- 5F26		32.57	10097	01	warage eucalypts e littar. Saudy soil. E	servb. Huter	at correcting	correcting black
	- 5F27	->	32.77683	151. 46136	01	Mod awage everlypts. He servb. No soud. Lichen	1 when here	•	k
Page of	of					Signed	ä	Date	

C:\AS130348 Hydro buffer zone fieldwork\Sample Fegister.docX

Appendix E

Results Tables

TABLE D1: Soil Analytical Results - Grid Sampling

Sample Depth: 0.005m - 0.015m Sampling Date: 24/10/2013 Laboratory PQL: 1 mg/kg Site Specific HIL - Fluoride: 440mg/kg

Sample Identification	Soluble Fluoride mg/kg (1:5 soil:water)
SF1	<2
SF2	<2
SF3	2
SF4	<1
SF5	2
SF6	<5
SF7	2
SF8	<2
SF9	1
SF10	3
SF11	2
SF12	<1
SF13	6
SF14	3
SF15	26
SF16	2
SF17	2
SF18	<2
SF19	1
SF20	2
SF21	2
SF22	3
SF23	6
SF24	3
SF25	3
SF26	2
SF27	3

Sample Identification	ECO2-SF10	ECO2-DUP1		ECO2-SF116	ECO2-DUP2		ECO2-SF23	ECO2-DUP3	
Sample Depth (m)	0.05-0			0.05-0			0.05-		
Duplicate Type	Intralabo	oratory	RPD %	Intralabo	oratory	RPD %	Intralabo	oratory	RPD %
Sample Profile	TOPS	OIL		TOPS	OIL		TOPS	OIL	
Sample collected by	M	Λ		M	Λ		M	Λ	
Metals									
Fluoride (1:5 soil:water)	3	4	29	2	2	0	6	6	0

Note all units in mg/kg

BOLD identifies where RPD results

intralaboratory
>50
>75
>100
AD>2.5 * PQL

BOLD identified where blanks >0 vvnere results are within two or the above ranges the most conservative criteria have been used to assess duplicate

Appendix F

Laboratory Reports



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS							
Work Order	ES1323786	Page	: 1 of 8				
Client	: ENVIRON AUSTRALIA PTY LTD	Laboratory	: Environmental Division Sydney				
Contact	: MR STEVE CADMAN	Contact	: Client Services				
Address	: PO BOX 560	Address	: 277-289 Woodpark Road Smithfield NSW Australia 2164				
	NORTH SYDNEY NSW, AUSTRALIA 2060						
E-mail	: scadman@environcorp.com	E-mail	: sydney@alsglobal.com				
Telephone	: +61 02 99548114	Telephone	: +61-2-8784 8555				
Facsimile	:	Facsimile	: +61-2-8784 8500				
Project	: AS130348	QC Level	: NEPM 2013 Schedule B(3) and ALS QCS3 requirement				
Order number	:		· · · ·				
C-O-C number	:	Date Samples Received	: 04-NOV-2013				
Sampler	: MM	Issue Date	: 11-NOV-2013				
Site	:						
		No. of samples received	: 30				
Quote number	: SY/446/12	No. of samples analysed	: 30				

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

This Certificate of Analysis contains the following information:

- General Comments
- Analytical Results

• Descriptive Results

	NATA Accredited Laboratory 825	<i>Signatories</i> This document has been electronically	v signed by the authorized signatories	indicated below. Electronic signing has been				
NATA	Accredited for compliance with	carried out in compliance with procedures specified in 21 CFR Part 11.						
ISO/IEC 17025.		Signatories	Position	Accreditation Category				
		Ashesh Patel	Inorganic Chemist	Sydney Inorganics				
WORLD RECOGNISED		Celine Conceicao	Senior Spectroscopist	Sydney Inorganics				
ACCREDITATION		Nanthini Coilparampil	Laboratory Manager - Inorganics	Sydney Inorganics				

Address 277-289 Woodpark Road Smithfield NSW Australia 2164 PHONE +61-2-8784 8555 Facsimile +61-2-8784 8500 Environmental Division Sydney ABN 84 009 936 029 Part of the ALS Group An ALS Limited Company



www.alsglobal.com

RIGHT SOLUTIONS RIGHT PARTNER



General Comments

The analytical procedures used by the Environmental Division have been developed from established internationally recognized procedures such as those published by the USEPA, APHA, AS and NEPM. In house developed procedures are employed in the absence of documented standards or by client request.

Where moisture determination has been performed, results are reported on a dry weight basis.

Where a reported less than (<) result is higher than the LOR, this may be due to primary sample extract/digestate dilution and/or insufficient sample for analysis.

Where the LOR of a reported result differs from standard LOR, this may be due to high moisture content, insufficient sample (reduced weight employed) or matrix interference.

When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes.

Key : CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society. LOR = Limit of reporting ^ = This result is computed from individual analyte detections at or above the level of reporting

• EK040S: LOR's for some samples have been raised due to insufficient sample amount



Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: SOIL (Matrix: SOIL)	Client sample ID			EC02_SF21	EC02_SF18	EC02_SF2	EC02_SF17	EC02_SF11
	Cli	ient sampli	ng date / time	24-OCT-2013 15:00	24-OCT-2013 15:00	23-OCT-2013 15:00	24-OCT-2013 15:00	23-OCT-2013 15:00
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	ES1323786-001	ES1323786-002	ES1323786-003	ES1323786-004	ES1323786-005
EA055: Moisture Content								
Moisture Content (dried @ 103°C)		1.0	%	1.8	4.2	3.1	2.2	<1.0
EK040: Fluoride								
Fluoride	16984-48-8	1	mg/kg	2	<2	<2	2	2



Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: SOIL (Matrix: SOIL)	Client sample ID			EC02_SFDUP01	EC02_SF20	EC02_SF16	EC02_SF5	EC02_SF7
	Cl	ient samplii	ng date / time	23-OCT-2013 15:00	24-OCT-2013 15:00	23-OCT-2013 15:00	23-OCT-2013 15:00	23-OCT-2013 15:00
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	ES1323786-006	ES1323786-007	ES1323786-008	ES1323786-009	ES1323786-010
EA055: Moisture Content								
Moisture Content (dried @ 103°C)		1.0	%	3.9	3.0	1.4	3.6	5.9
EK040: Fluoride								
Fluoride	16984-48-8	1	mg/kg	4	2	2	2	2


Sub-Matrix: SOIL (Matrix: SOIL)		Clie	ent sample ID	EC02_SF13	EC02_SF3	EC02_SF26	EC02_SF25	EC02_SF9
	Cli	ent sampli	ng date / time	23-OCT-2013 15:00	23-OCT-2013 15:00	24-OCT-2013 15:00	24-OCT-2013 15:00	23-OCT-2013 15:00
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	ES1323786-011	ES1323786-012	ES1323786-013	ES1323786-014	ES1323786-015
EA055: Moisture Content								
Moisture Content (dried @ 103°C)		1.0	%	2.4	9.7	7.0	3.3	5.1
EK040: Fluoride								
Fluoride	16984-48-8	1	mg/kg	6	2	2	3	1



Sub-Matrix: SOIL (Matrix: SOIL)		Clie	ent sample ID	EC02_SF12	EC02_SF8	EC02_SF1	EC02_SF15	EC02_SF10
	Cli	ient sampli	ng date / time	23-OCT-2013 15:00				
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	ES1323786-016	ES1323786-017	ES1323786-018	ES1323786-019	ES1323786-020
EA055: Moisture Content								
Moisture Content (dried @ 103°C)		1.0	%	1.5	9.2	4.0	11.6	3.1
EK040: Fluoride								
Fluoride	16984-48-8	1	mg/kg	<1	<2	<2	26	



Sub-Matrix: SOIL (Matrix: SOIL)		Clie	ent sample ID	EC02_SF6	EC02_SFDUP02	EC02_SF4	EC02_SF14	EC02_SF27
	Cli	ent sampli	ng date / time	23-OCT-2013 15:00	23-OCT-2013 15:00	23-OCT-2013 15:00	23-OCT-2013 15:00	24-OCT-2013 15:00
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	ES1323786-021	ES1323786-022	ES1323786-023	ES1323786-024	ES1323786-025
EA055: Moisture Content								
Moisture Content (dried @ 103°C)		1.0	%	6.6	8.7	2.8	15.8	7.4
EK040: Fluoride								
Fluoride	16984-48-8	1	mg/kg	<5	2	<1	3	3



Sub-Matrix: SOIL (Matrix: SOIL)	IL) Client sample ID				03 EC02_SF19	EC02_SF24	EC02_SF23	EC02_SF22
	Cl	ient sampli	ing date / time	24-OCT-2013 15:	00 24-OCT-2013 15:00	24-OCT-2013 15:00	24-OCT-2013 15:00	24-OCT-2013 15:00
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	ES1323786-026	ES1323786-027	ES1323786-028	ES1323786-029	ES1323786-030
EA055: Moisture Content								
Moisture Content (dried @ 103°C)		1.0	%	5.7	5.3	3.8	2.4	3.9
EK040: Fluoride								
Fluoride	16984-48-8	1	mg/kg	6	1	3	6	3
Analytical Results						·	·	
Descriptive Results								
Sub-Matrix: SOIL								
Method: Compound	Client sa	ample ID -	Client sampling	date / time	Analytical Results			
EK040: Fluoride								
EK040S: Fluoride	EC02_S	F10 - 23-C	DCT-2013 15:00		3			



QUALITY CONTROL REPORT

Work Order	: ES1323786	Page	: 1 of 4
Client	ENVIRON AUSTRALIA PTY LTD	Laboratory	: Environmental Division Sydney
Contact	: MR STEVE CADMAN	Contact	: Client Services
Address	: PO BOX 560 NORTH SYDNEY NSW, AUSTRALIA 2060	Address	: 277-289 Woodpark Road Smithfield NSW Australia 2164
E-mail	: scadman@environcorp.com	E-mail	: sydney@alsglobal.com
Telephone	+61 02 99548114	Telephone	+61-2-8784 8555
Facsimile	:	Facsimile	: +61-2-8784 8500
Project	: AS130348	QC Level	: NEPM 2013 Schedule B(3) and ALS QCS3 requirement
Site	:		
C-O-C number	:	Date Samples Received	: 04-NOV-2013
Sampler	: MM	Issue Date	: 11-NOV-2013
Order number	:		
		No. of samples received	: 30
Quote number	: SY/446/12	No. of samples analysed	: 30

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

This Quality Control Report contains the following information:

- Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report; Relative Percentage Difference (RPD) and Acceptance Limits
- Method Blank (MB) and Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) Report; Recovery and Acceptance Limits
- Matrix Spike (MS) Report; Recovery and Acceptance Limits



NATA Accredited Signatories

Laboratory 825 This document has been electronically signed by the authorized signatories indicated below. Electronic signing has been carried out ir compliance with procedures specified in 21 CFR Part 11.

Accredited for	Signatories	Position	Accreditation Category
compliance with ISO/IEC 17025.	Ashesh Patel	Inorganic Chemist	Sydney Inorganics
130/IEC 17025.	Celine Conceicao	Senior Spectroscopist	Sydney Inorganics
	Nanthini Coilparampil	Laboratory Manager - Inorganics	Sydney Inorganics

Address 277-289 Woodpark Road Smithfield NSW Australia 2164 | PHONE +61-2-8784 8555 | Facsimile +61-2-8784 8500 Environmental Division Sydney ABN 84 009 936 029 Part of the ALS Group An ALS Limited Company



www.alsglobal.com

RIGHT SOLUTIONS RIGHT PARTNER



General Comments

The analytical procedures used by the Environmental Division have been developed from established internationally recognized procedures such as those published by the USEPA, APHA, AS and NEPM. In house developed procedures are employed in the absence of documented standards or by client request.

Where moisture determination has been performed, results are reported on a dry weight basis.

Where a reported less than (<) result is higher than the LOR, this may be due to primary sample extract/digestate dilution and/or insufficient sample for analysis.

Where the LOR of a reported result differs from standard LOR, this may be due to high moisture content, insufficient sample (reduced weight employed) or matrix interference.

 Key :
 Anonymous = Refers to samples which are not specifically part of this work order but formed part of the QC process lot

 CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

 LOR = Limit of reporting

 RPD = Relative Percentage Difference

= Indicates failed QC



Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

The quality control term Laboratory Duplicate refers to a randomly selected intralaboratory split. Laboratory duplicates provide information regarding method precision and sample heterogeneity. The permitted ranges for the Relative Percent Deviation (RPD) of Laboratory Duplicates are specified in ALS Method QWI-EN/38 and are dependent on the magnitude of results in comparison to the level of reporting: Result < 10 times LOR:-No Limit; Result between 10 and 20 times LOR:- 0% - 50%; Result > 20 times LOR:- 0% - 20%.

Sub-Matrix: SOIL			Γ			Laboratory L	Duplicate (DUP) Report		
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)	Recovery Limits (%)
EA055: Moisture Co	ntent (QC Lot: 3142482)								
ES1323647-004	Anonymous	EA055-103: Moisture Content (dried @ 103°C)		1.0	%	28.6	27.5	3.7	0% - 20%
ES1323786-009	EC02_SF5	EA055-103: Moisture Content (dried @ 103°C)		1.0	%	3.6	5.7	44.0	No Limit
EA055: Moisture Co	ntent (QC Lot: 3142483)								
ES1323786-018	EC02_SF1	EA055-103: Moisture Content (dried @ 103°C)		1.0	%	4.0	4.8	18.4	No Limit
ES1323786-029	EC02_SF23	EA055-103: Moisture Content (dried @ 103°C)		1.0	%	2.4	2.4	0.0	No Limit
EK040S: Fluoride So	oluble (QC Lot: 3142827)								
ES1323624-001	Anonymous	EK040S: Fluoride	16984-48-8	1	mg/kg	2	2	0.0	No Limit
ES1323624-010	Anonymous	EK040S: Fluoride	16984-48-8	1	mg/kg	2	2	0.0	No Limit
EK040S: Fluoride So	oluble (QC Lot: 3142828)								
ES1323786-004	EC02_SF17	EK040S: Fluoride	16984-48-8	1	mg/kg	2	2	0.0	No Limit
ES1323786-013	EC02_SF26	EK040S: Fluoride	16984-48-8	1	mg/kg	2	1	0.0	No Limit
EK040S: Fluoride So	oluble (QC Lot: 3142829)								
ES1323786-024	EC02_SF14	EK040S: Fluoride	16984-48-8	1	mg/kg	3	3	0.0	No Limit



Method Blank (MB) and Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) Report

The quality control term Method / Laboratory Blank refers to an analyte free matrix to which all reagents are added in the same volumes or proportions as used in standard sample preparation. The purpose of this QC parameter is to monitor potential laboratory contamination. The quality control term Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) refers to a certified reference material, or a known interference free matrix spiked with target analytes. The purpose of this QC parameter is to monitor method precision and accuracy independent of sample matrix. Dynamic Recovery Limits are based on statistical evaluation of processed LCS.

Sub-Matrix: SOIL				Method Blank (MB)	Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) Report				
				Report	Spike	Spike Recovery (%)	Recovery Limits (%)		
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Concentration	LCS	Low	High	
EK040S: Fluoride Soluble (QCLot: 3142827)									
EK040S: Fluoride	16984-48-8	1.0	mg/kg	<1	25.0 mg/kg	111	69	117	
EK040S: Fluoride Soluble (QCLot: 3142828)									
EK040S: Fluoride	16984-48-8	1.0	mg/kg	<1	25.0 mg/kg	109	69	117	
EK040S: Fluoride Soluble (QCLot: 3142829)									
EK040S: Fluoride	16984-48-8	1.0	mg/kg	<1	25.0 mg/kg	112	69	117	

Matrix Spike (MS) Report

The quality control term Matrix Spike (MS) refers to an intralaboratory split sample spiked with a representative set of target analytes. The purpose of this QC parameter is to monitor potential matrix effects on analyte recoveries. Static Recovery Limits as per laboratory Data Quality Objectives (DQOs). Ideal recovery ranges stated may be waived in the event of sample matrix interference.

Sub-Matrix: SOIL		atrix: SOIL					
				Spike	SpikeRecovery(%)	Recovery L	imits (%)
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	Concentration	MS	Low	High
EK040S: Fluoride	Soluble (QCLot: 3142827)						
ES1323624-001	Anonymous	EK040S: Fluoride	16984-48-8	25.0 mg/kg	115	70	130
EK040S: Fluoride	Soluble (QCLot: 3142828)						
ES1323786-004	EC02_SF17	EK040S: Fluoride	16984-48-8	25.0 mg/kg	116	70	130
EK040S: Fluoride	Soluble (QCLot: 3142829)						
ES1323786-024	EC02_SF14	EK040S: Fluoride	16984-48-8	25.0 mg/kg	98.8	70	130

Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report

The quality control term Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) refers to intralaboratory split samples spiked with a representative set of target analytes. The purpose of these QC parameters are to monitor potential matrix effects on analyte recoveries. Static Recovery Limits as per laboratory Data Quality Objectives (DQOs). Ideal recovery ranges stated may be waived in the event of sample matrix interference.

Sub-Matrix: SOIL					Matrix Spike (M	S) and Matrix Spil	ke Duplicate	(MSD) Repor	t	
				Spike	Spike Reco	overy (%)	very (%) Recovery Limits (%)		RPD)s (%)
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	Concentration	MS	MSD	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
EK040S: Fluoride S	oluble (QCLot: 3142827)									
ES1323624-001	Anonymous	EK040S: Fluoride	16984-48-8	25.0 mg/kg	115		70	130		
EK040S: Fluoride S	oluble (QCLot: 3142828)									
ES1323786-004	EC02_SF17	EK040S: Fluoride	16984-48-8	25.0 mg/kg	116		70	130		
EK040S: Fluoride S	oluble (QCLot: 3142829)									
ES1323786-024	EC02_SF14	EK040S: Fluoride	16984-48-8	25.0 mg/kg	98.8		70	130		



Work Order	: ES1323786	Page	: 1 of 7
Client	: ENVIRON AUSTRALIA PTY LTD	Laboratory	: Environmental Division Sydney
Contact	: MR STEVE CADMAN	Contact	: Client Services
Address	: PO BOX 560 NORTH SYDNEY NSW, AUSTRALIA 2060	Address	: 277-289 Woodpark Road Smithfield NSW Australia 2164
E-mail	: scadman@environcorp.com	E-mail	: sydney@alsglobal.com
Telephone	: +61 02 99548114	Telephone	: +61-2-8784 8555
Facsimile	:	Facsimile	: +61-2-8784 8500
Project	: AS130348	QC Level	: NEPM 2013 Schedule B(3) and ALS QCS3 requirement
Site	:		
C-O-C number	:	Date Samples Received	: 04-NOV-2013
Sampler	: MM	Issue Date	: 11-NOV-2013
Order number	:		
		No. of samples received	: 30
Quote number	: SY/446/12	No. of samples analysed	: 30

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

This Interpretive Quality Control Report contains the following information:

- Analysis Holding Time Compliance
- Quality Control Parameter Frequency Compliance
- Brief Method Summaries
- Summary of Outliers

Address 277-289 Woodpark Road Smithfield NSW Australia 2164 | PHONE +61-2-8784 8555 | Facsimile +61-2-8784 8500

Environmental Division Sydney ABN 84 009 936 029 Part of the ALS Group An ALS Limited Company



www.alsglobal.com

RIGHT SOLUTIONS RIGHT PARTNER



Analysis Holding Time Compliance

Matrix: SOIL

This report summarizes extraction / preparation and analysis times and compares each with recommended holding times (USEPA SW 846, APHA, AS and NEPM) based on the sample container provided. Dates reported represent first date of extraction or analysis and preclude subsequent dilutions and reruns. A listing of breaches (if any) is provided herein.

Holding time for leachate methods (e.g. TCLP) vary according to the analytes reported. Assessment compares the leach date with the shortest analyte holding time for the equivalent soil method. These are: organics 14 days, mercury 28 days & other metals 180 days. A recorded breach does not guarantee a breach for all non-volatile parameters.

Holding times for <u>VOC in soils</u> vary according to analytes of interest. Vinyl Chloride and Styrene holding time is 7 days; others 14 days. A recorded breach does not guarantee a breach for all VOC analytes and should be verified in case the reported breach is a false positive <u>or</u> Vinyl Chloride and Styrene are not key analytes of interest/concern.

Evaluation: * = Holding time breach ; \checkmark = Within holding time.

Method		Sample Date	Ex	traction / Preparation			Analysis	
Container / Client Sample ID(s)			Date extracted	Due for extraction	Evaluation	Date analysed	Due for analysis	Evaluation
EA055: Moisture Content								
Pulp Bag (EA055-103)								
EC02_SF2,	EC02_SF11,	23-OCT-2013				05-NOV-2013	06-NOV-2013	✓
EC02_SFDUP01,	EC02_SF16,							
EC02_SF5,	EC02_SF7,							
EC02_SF13,	EC02_SF3,							
EC02_SF9,	EC02_SF12,							
EC02_SF8,	EC02_SF1,							
EC02_SF15,	EC02_SF10,							
EC02_SF6,	EC02_SFDUP02,							
EC02_SF4,	EC02_SF14							
Pulp Bag (EA055-103)								
EC02_SF21,	EC02_SF18,	24-OCT-2013				05-NOV-2013	07-NOV-2013	 ✓
EC02_SF17,	EC02_SF20,							
EC02_SF26,	EC02_SF25,							
EC02_SF27,	EC02_SFDUP03,							
EC02_SF19,	EC02_SF24,							
EC02 SF23,	EC02 SF22							

Page	: 3 of 7
Work Order	: ES1323786
Client	: ENVIRON AUSTRALIA PTY LTD
Project	: AS130348



Matrix: SOIL					Evaluation	🗴 = Holding time	breach ; ✓ = Withir	n holding time.
Method		Sample Date	Extraction / Preparation			Analysis		
Container / Client Sample ID(s)			Date extracted	Due for extraction	Evaluation	Date analysed	Due for analysis	Evaluation
EK040: Fluoride								
Pulp Bag (EK040S)								
EC02_SF2,	EC02_SF11,	23-OCT-2013	06-NOV-2013	30-OCT-2013		06-NOV-2013	04-DEC-2013	 ✓
EC02_SFDUP01,	EC02_SF16,							
EC02_SF5,	EC02_SF7,							
EC02_SF13,	EC02_SF3,							
EC02_SF9,	EC02_SF12,							
EC02_SF8,	EC02_SF1,							
EC02_SF15,	EC02_SF10,							
EC02_SF6,	EC02_SFDUP02,							
EC02_SF4,	EC02_SF14							
Pulp Bag (EK040S)								
EC02_SF21,	EC02_SF18,	24-OCT-2013	06-NOV-2013	31-OCT-2013	*	06-NOV-2013	04-DEC-2013	✓
EC02_SF17,	EC02_SF20,							
EC02_SF26,	EC02_SF25,							
EC02_SF27,	EC02_SFDUP03,							
EC02_SF19,	EC02_SF24,							
EC02_SF23,	EC02_SF22							



Quality Control Parameter Frequency Compliance

The following report summarises the frequency of laboratory QC samples analysed within the analytical lot(s) in which the submitted sample(s) was(where) processed. Actual rate should be greater than or equal to the expected rate. A listing of breaches is provided in the Summary of Outliers.

Matrix: SOIL	Evaluation: × = Quality Control frequency not within specification ; ✓ = Quality Control frequency within specification							
Quality Control Sample Type		Co	ount		Rate (%)		Quality Control Specification	
Analytical Methods	Method	00	Reaular	Actual Expected Evaluation		Evaluation		
Laboratory Duplicates (DUP)								
Fluoride - Soluble	EK040S	5	47	10.6	10.0	✓	NEPM 2013 Schedule B(3) and ALS QCS3 requirement	
Moisture Content	EA055-103	4	40	10.0	10.0	✓	NEPM 2013 Schedule B(3) and ALS QCS3 requirement	
Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)								
Fluoride - Soluble	EK040S	3	47	6.4	5.0	✓	NEPM 2013 Schedule B(3) and ALS QCS3 requirement	
Method Blanks (MB)								
Fluoride - Soluble	EK040S	3	47	6.4	5.0	✓	NEPM 2013 Schedule B(3) and ALS QCS3 requirement	
Matrix Spikes (MS)								
Fluoride - Soluble	EK040S	3	47	6.4	5.0	✓	NEPM 2013 Schedule B(3) and ALS QCS3 requirement	



Brief Method Summaries

The analytical procedures used by the Environmental Division have been developed from established internationally recognized procedures such as those published by the US EPA, APHA, AS and NEPM. In house developed procedures are employed in the absence of documented standards or by client request. The following report provides brief descriptions of the analytical procedures employed for results reported in the Certificate of Analysis. Sources from which ALS methods have been developed are provided within the Method Descriptions.

Analytical Methods	Method	Matrix	Method Descriptions
Moisture Content	EA055-103	SOIL	A gravimetric procedure based on weight loss over a 12 hour drying period at 103-105 degrees C. This method is compliant with NEPM (2013) Schedule B(3) Section 7.1 and Table 1 (14 day holding time).
Fluoride - Soluble	EK040S	SOIL	APHA 21st ed., 4500 FC Soluble Fluoride is determined after a 1:5 soil/water extract using an ion selective electrode.
Preparation Methods	Method	Matrix	Method Descriptions
1:5 solid / water leach for soluble	EN34	SOIL	10 g of soil is mixed with 50 mL of distilled water and tumbled end over end for 1 hour. Water soluble salts are
analytes			leached from the soil by the continuous suspension. Samples are settled and the water filtered off for analysis.



Summary of Outliers

Outliers : Quality Control Samples

The following report highlights outliers flagged in the Quality Control (QC) Report. Surrogate recovery limits are static and based on USEPA SW846 or ALS-QWI/EN/38 (in the absence of specific USEPA limits). This report displays QC Outliers (breaches) only.

Duplicates, Method Blanks, Laboratory Control Samples and Matrix Spikes

- For all matrices, no Method Blank value outliers occur.
- For all matrices, no Duplicate outliers occur.
- For all matrices, no Laboratory Control outliers occur.
- For all matrices, no Matrix Spike outliers occur.

Regular Sample Surrogates

• For all regular sample matrices, no surrogate recovery outliers occur.

Outliers : Analysis Holding Time Compliance

This report displays Holding Time breaches only. Only the respective Extraction / Preparation and/or Analysis component is/are displayed.

Matrix: SOIL Method Extraction / Preparation Analysis Container / Client Sample ID(s) Date extracted Due for extraction Date analysed Due for analysis Days Davs overdue overdue EK040: Fluoride Pulp Bag EC02 SF2, EC02 SF11, 06-NOV-2013 30-OCT-2013 7 EC02 SFDUP01, EC02 SF16, EC02_SF5, EC02_SF7, EC02_SF13, EC02_SF3, EC02_SF9, EC02_SF12, EC02 SF8, EC02 SF1, EC02 SF15, EC02 SF10, EC02 SF6, EC02 SFDUP02, EC02 SF4, EC02 SF14 Pulp Bag EC02 SF21, EC02 SF18, 06-NOV-2013 31-OCT-2013 6 ----____ ----EC02 SF17, EC02 SF20, EC02 SF26 EC02 SF25, EC02 SF27, EC02 SFDUP03 EC02 SF19, EC02 SF24, EC02 SF23, EC02 SF22

Outliers : Frequency of Quality Control Samples

The following report highlights breaches in the Frequency of Quality Control Samples.

Page	: 7 of 7
Work Order	: ES1323786
Client	: ENVIRON AUSTRALIA PTY LTD
Project	: AS130348



• No Quality Control Sample Frequency Outliers exist.





SAMPLE RECEIPT NOTIFICATION (SRN)

Comprehensive Report

Work Order	: ES1323786
------------	-------------

Client Contact Address	: MR S : PO BO	CON AUSTRALIA PTY LTD TEVE CADMAN DX 560 H SYDNEY NSW, AUSTRALIA	Laboratory Contact Address	 Environmental Division Sydney Client Services 277-289 Woodpark Road Smithfield NSW Australia 2164
E-mail Telephone Facsimile	ephone : +61 02 99548114		E-mail Telephone Facsimile	 sydney@alsglobal.com +61-2-8784 8555 +61-2-8784 8500
Project Order number	: AS130348		Page	: 1 of 4
C-O-C number Site	 		Quote number	: ES2012ENVIAUS0307 (SY/446/12)
Sampler	: MM		QC Level	NEPM 2013 Schedule B(3) and ALS QCS3 requirement
Dates				
Date Samples Reco Client Requested D		: 04-NOV-2013 : 11-NOV-2013	Issue Date Scheduled Reporti	: 05-NOV-2013 09:04 ng Date : 11-NOV-2013
Delivery Deta Mode of Delivery No. of coolers/boxe Security Seal		: Carrier : 1 HARD : Intact.	Temperature No. of samples rec No. of samples ana	

General Comments

- This report contains the following information:
 - Sample Container(s)/Preservation Non-Compliances
 - Summary of Sample(s) and Requested Analysis
 - Proactive Holding Time Report
 - Requested Deliverables
- Sample containers do not comply to pretreatment / preservation standards (AS, APHA, USEPA). Please refer to the Sample Container(s)/Preservation Non-Compliance Log at the end of this report for details.
- Please refer to the Proactive Holding Time Report table below which summarises breaches of recommended holding times that have occurred prior to samples/instructions being received at the laboratory. The absence of this summary table indicates that all samples have been received within the recommended holding times for the analysis requested.
- Please direct any queries you have regarding this work order to the above ALS laboratory contact.
- Analytical work for this work order will be conducted at ALS Sydney.
- Sample Disposal Aqueous (14 days), Solid (60 days) from date of completion of work order.

Address 277-289 Woodpark Road Smithfield NSW Australia 2164 | PHONE +61-2-8784 8555 | Facsimile +61-2-8784 8500

Environmental Division Sydney ABN 84 009 936 029 Part of the ALS Group An ALS Limited Company



Sample Container(s)/Preservation Non-Compliances

All comparisons are made against pretreatment/preservation AS, APHA, USEPA standards.

Method Client sample ID	Sample Container Received	Preferred Sample Container for Analysis
EA055-103 : Moisture Content		
EC02_SF21	- Pulp Bag	- Soil Glass Jar - Unpreserved
EC02_SF18	- Pulp Bag	- Soil Glass Jar - Unpreserved
EC02_SF2	- Pulp Bag	- Soil Glass Jar - Unpreserved
EC02_SF17	- Pulp Bag	- Soil Glass Jar - Unpreserved
EC02_SF11	- Pulp Bag	- Soil Glass Jar - Unpreserved
EC02_SFDUP01	- Pulp Bag	- Soil Glass Jar - Unpreserved
EC02_SF20	- Pulp Bag	- Soil Glass Jar - Unpreserved
EC02_SF16	- Pulp Bag	- Soil Glass Jar - Unpreserved
EC02_SF5	- Pulp Bag	- Soil Glass Jar - Unpreserved
EC02_SF7	- Pulp Bag	- Soil Glass Jar - Unpreserved
EC02_SF13	- Pulp Bag	- Soil Glass Jar - Unpreserved
EC02_SF3	- Pulp Bag	- Soil Glass Jar - Unpreserved
EC02_SF26	- Pulp Bag	- Soil Glass Jar - Unpreserved
EC02_SF25	- Pulp Bag	- Soil Glass Jar - Unpreserved
EC02_SF9	- Pulp Bag	- Soil Glass Jar - Unpreserved
EC02_SF12	- Pulp Bag	- Soil Glass Jar - Unpreserved
EC02_SF8	- Pulp Bag	- Soil Glass Jar - Unpreserved
EC02_SF1	- Pulp Bag	- Soil Glass Jar - Unpreserved
EC02_SF15	- Pulp Bag	- Soil Glass Jar - Unpreserved
EC02_SF10	- Pulp Bag	- Soil Glass Jar - Unpreserved
EC02_SF6	- Pulp Bag	- Soil Glass Jar - Unpreserved
EC02_SFDUP02	- Pulp Bag	- Soil Glass Jar - Unpreserved
EC02_SF4	- Pulp Bag	- Soil Glass Jar - Unpreserved
EC02_SF14	- Pulp Bag	- Soil Glass Jar - Unpreserved
EC02_SF27	- Pulp Bag	- Soil Glass Jar - Unpreserved
EC02_SFDUP03	- Pulp Bag	- Soil Glass Jar - Unpreserved
EC02_SF19	- Pulp Bag	- Soil Glass Jar - Unpreserved
EC02_SF24	- Pulp Bag	- Soil Glass Jar - Unpreserved
EC02_SF23	- Pulp Bag	- Soil Glass Jar - Unpreserved
EC02_SF22	- Pulp Bag	- Soil Glass Jar - Unpreserved

Summary of Sample(s) and Requested Analysis

Some items described below may be part of a laboratory process neccessary for the execution of client requested tasks. Packages may contain additional analyses, such as the determination of moisture content and preparation tasks, that are included in the package.

If no sampling time is provided, the sampling time will default to 15:00 on the date of sampling. If no sampling date is provided, the sampling date will be assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes and will be shown bracketed without a time component.

Matrix: SOIL

Matrix: SOIL			SOIL - EA055-103 Moisture Content	- EK040S de Soluble
Laboratory sample ID	Client sampling date / time	Client sample ID	SOIL - Moistu	SOIL - E Fluoride
ES1323786-001	24-OCT-2013 15:00	EC02_SF21	✓	✓
ES1323786-002	24-OCT-2013 15:00	EC02_SF18	✓	✓
ES1323786-003	23-OCT-2013 15:00	EC02_SF2	1	✓
ES1323786-004	24-OCT-2013 15:00	EC02_SF17	1	✓
ES1323786-005	23-OCT-2013 15:00	EC02_SF11	1	✓
ES1323786-006	23-OCT-2013 15:00	EC02_SFDUP01	1	✓
ES1323786-007	24-OCT-2013 15:00	EC02_SF20	1	✓
ES1323786-008	23-OCT-2013 15:00	EC02_SF16	1	✓
ES1323786-009	23-OCT-2013 15:00	EC02_SF5	1	✓
ES1323786-010	23-OCT-2013 15:00	EC02_SF7	 ✓ 	✓
ES1323786-011	23-OCT-2013 15:00	EC02_SF13	✓	✓
ES1323786-012	23-OCT-2013 15:00	EC02_SF3	✓	✓

Issue Date	: 05-NOV-2013 09:04
Page	: 3 of 4
Work Order	: ES1323786
Client	ENVIRON AUSTRALIA PTY LTD



			SOIL - EA055-103 Moisture Content	SOIL - EK040S Fluoride Soluble
ES1323786-013	24-OCT-2013 15:00	EC02_SF26		<u>√</u>
ES1323786-014	24-OCT-2013 15:00	EC02_SF25	✓	✓
ES1323786-015	23-OCT-2013 15:00	EC02_SF9	✓	✓
ES1323786-016	23-OCT-2013 15:00	EC02_SF12	✓	✓
ES1323786-017	23-OCT-2013 15:00	EC02_SF8	✓	✓
ES1323786-018	23-OCT-2013 15:00	EC02_SF1	✓	✓
ES1323786-019	23-OCT-2013 15:00	EC02_SF15	✓	✓
ES1323786-020	23-OCT-2013 15:00	EC02_SF10	✓	✓
ES1323786-021	23-OCT-2013 15:00	EC02_SF6	✓	✓
ES1323786-022	23-OCT-2013 15:00	EC02_SFDUP02	1	✓
ES1323786-023	23-OCT-2013 15:00	EC02_SF4	✓	✓
ES1323786-024	23-OCT-2013 15:00	EC02_SF14	1	1
ES1323786-025	24-OCT-2013 15:00	EC02_SF27	✓	✓
ES1323786-026	24-OCT-2013 15:00	EC02_SFDUP03	1	✓
ES1323786-027	24-OCT-2013 15:00	EC02_SF19	1	✓
ES1323786-028	24-OCT-2013 15:00	EC02_SF24	1	✓
ES1323786-029	24-OCT-2013 15:00	EC02_SF23	1	✓
ES1323786-030	24-OCT-2013 15:00	EC02_SF22	✓	✓



Proactive Holding Time Report

The following table summarises breaches of recommended holding times that have occurred prior to samples/instructions being received at the laboratory.

Matrix: SOIL	
Method	
Client Sample ID(s)	

Evaluation: $*$ = Holding time breach ; \checkmark = Within holding til	me.
---	-----

Method		Due for	Due for	Samples R	eceived	Instructions Received		
Client Sample ID(s)	Container	extraction	analysis	Date	Evaluation	Date	Evaluation	
EK040S: Fluoride	- Soluble							
EC02_SF10	Pulp Bag	30-OCT-2013		04-NOV-2013	×	04-NOV-2013	×	
EC02_SF11	Pulp Bag	30-OCT-2013		04-NOV-2013	×	04-NOV-2013	×	
EC02_SF12	Pulp Bag	30-OCT-2013		04-NOV-2013	×	04-NOV-2013	*	
EC02_SF13	Pulp Bag	30-OCT-2013		04-NOV-2013	×	04-NOV-2013	×	
EC02_SF14	Pulp Bag	30-OCT-2013		04-NOV-2013	×	04-NOV-2013	×	
EC02_SF15	Pulp Bag	30-OCT-2013		04-NOV-2013	×	04-NOV-2013	×	
EC02_SF16	Pulp Bag	30-OCT-2013		04-NOV-2013	×	04-NOV-2013	×	
EC02_SF17	Pulp Bag	31-OCT-2013		04-NOV-2013	×	04-NOV-2013	×	
EC02_SF18	Pulp Bag	31-OCT-2013		04-NOV-2013	×	04-NOV-2013	*	
EC02_SF19	Pulp Bag	31-OCT-2013		04-NOV-2013	×	04-NOV-2013	×	
EC02_SF1	Pulp Bag	30-OCT-2013		04-NOV-2013	×	04-NOV-2013	×	
EC02_SF20	Pulp Bag	31-OCT-2013		04-NOV-2013	×	04-NOV-2013	×	
EC02_SF21	Pulp Bag	31-OCT-2013		04-NOV-2013	×	04-NOV-2013	×	
EC02_SF22	Pulp Bag	31-OCT-2013		04-NOV-2013	×	04-NOV-2013	×	
EC02_SF23	Pulp Bag	31-OCT-2013		04-NOV-2013	×	04-NOV-2013	×	
EC02_SF24	Pulp Bag	31-OCT-2013		04-NOV-2013	×	04-NOV-2013	×	
EC02_SF25	Pulp Bag	31-OCT-2013		04-NOV-2013	×	04-NOV-2013	×	
EC02_SF26	Pulp Bag	31-OCT-2013		04-NOV-2013	×	04-NOV-2013	*	
EC02_SF27	Pulp Bag	31-OCT-2013		04-NOV-2013	×	04-NOV-2013	×	
EC02_SF2	Pulp Bag	30-OCT-2013		04-NOV-2013	×	04-NOV-2013	*	
EC02_SF3	Pulp Bag	30-OCT-2013		04-NOV-2013	×	04-NOV-2013	×	
EC02_SF4	Pulp Bag	30-OCT-2013		04-NOV-2013	×	04-NOV-2013	*	
EC02_SF5	Pulp Bag	30-OCT-2013		04-NOV-2013	×	04-NOV-2013	×	
EC02_SF6	Pulp Bag	30-OCT-2013		04-NOV-2013	×	04-NOV-2013	*	
EC02_SF7	Pulp Bag	30-OCT-2013		04-NOV-2013	×	04-NOV-2013	×	
EC02_SF8	Pulp Bag	30-OCT-2013		04-NOV-2013	×	04-NOV-2013	×	
EC02_SF9	Pulp Bag	30-OCT-2013		04-NOV-2013	×	04-NOV-2013	×	
EC02_SFDUP01	Pulp Bag	30-OCT-2013		04-NOV-2013	×	04-NOV-2013	×	
EC02_SFDUP02	Pulp Bag	30-OCT-2013		04-NOV-2013	×	04-NOV-2013	×	
EC02_SFDUP03	Pulp Bag	31-OCT-2013		04-NOV-2013	×	04-NOV-2013	×	

Requested Deliverables

MR STEVE CADMAN

- *AU Certificate of Analysis NATA (COA)
- *AU Interpretive QC Report DEFAULT (Anon QCI Rep) (QCI)
- *AU QC Report DEFAULT (Anon QC Rep) NATA (QC)
- A4 AU Sample Receipt Notification Environmental HT (SRN
- A4 AU Tax Invoice (INV)
- Chain of Custody (CoC) (COC)
- EDI Format ENMRG (ENMRG)
- EDI Format ESDAT (ESDAT)

Email Email Email Email Email Email Email

Email

scadman@environcorp.com scadman@environcorp.com scadman@environcorp.com scadman@environcorp.com scadman@environcorp.com scadman@environcorp.com scadman@environcorp.com scadman@environcorp.com

151) Alstanolo urm Enzedon TAS 7250 Antito 6000	EONDY (Circle)	AN No. NA	restont upon a structure of any is a short of a NA		÷.	REÇEIVED BY:	Kannoll		52:11 21.11.4 0			Commerts on likely contantinent lavels, ditutions, or samplas requiring specific QC analysis etc.															
 I. Perth TO Hod Way, Milata WA (00) Ph. 18 R209 7055 E. samples anthogale.novio onero Lanneaston: 27 Vedingion St. Lannez-ton TAS 7259 Ph. 23 6331 2158 E. humarstreigals.novio.com 	FOR LABORATORYUSE ONLY (CIT	Custody/Seal/mac()		6 7 Random Sample Temperature on Receip	6 7 Othercomments	RELINQUISHED BY:	۵	DATETIME	corr E1/11/4 C		aite Codes must be listed to attract suite prio uinell or Dissolved flaet through terteriot.						Environmental Division	Sydney	EC1202786	00/070/0			Telephone : +61-2-8784 8555				1 Interesting
 Melboune 2-4 Westall Kd Sumrynix-VC 3171 PhC8 8549 s603 E, campten methom nefoclarmore com U. Adelande: 2-1 Binnin Rd Porenka SA 5765 Ph. 03 8559 0850 E.indelade@akemvin com 		st due date):	COC SEQUENCE NUMBER (Cirole)	coc: (1) 2 3 4 5		RECEIVED BY:	ر لر	DATE/TIME:	am 4/11/13 1050		ANALYSIS REQUIRED Including SUITES (NB, Suite Codes must be listed to attract suite price) Where Math are notified accedential indiffered batts remined an Discond field (thread batts remined)						Envir		Li Li	J 							
 Brisbane 32 Strand SL Statined OLD 4053 Ph.07 3203 7222 Examples Intutantification term Ph.07 3203 7222 Examples Intutantification term Ph.07 1704 1413 Distance Chemical Ph. 71 Townsville: 1413 Distance Chemical Ph. Ph.07 4756 0600 E. Venturfic chemical Ph. Ph. 2014 1513 	Standard TAT (List due date):	Non Standard or urgent TAT (List due date):				RELINGUISHED BY	for Jacoby	DATETIME:	/11/13 10:50am				TOTAL BOTTLES	601/ <u>-1</u> 971/95	-	×	X	× 	×.	X	X	X	X	×	$ \times$	× >	12 12
		e longer for some tests nics)	E NO.:	of Origin:	49625444			CAMPONCON COM DATE			CONTAINER INFORMATION		TYPE & PRESERVATIVE (refer to codes below)		unpreserved paper	_										>	undiam Biotecontect. 5 = 5.
Ru Smitheld N se svinevitjalse Ru Watabrocki s newostleigal	TURNARO	(Standard TAT e.g., Ultra Tra	ALS QUOTE NO.:	COUNTRY OF ORIGIN:	н О	OBILE:	.T (or default				-		MATRIX		5	<u> </u>										>	S = H3 - 201
 Springy 277 Woodpark Rul Smithledt NSW 2178 Di U2 8784 8755 E sumption south Li Newcaetko: 5 Reseguin Rul Wanahoock NSW 2304 Pin02 4506 5435 E semption newcayllergialschwo.com 			PROJECT NO .:	PURCHASE ORDER NO.:	CONTACT PH:	M SAMPLER MOBILE:	EDD FORMAT (or default):	listed): Scadman@		Ľ	SAMPLE DETALLS MATRIX: Solid(S) Water(W)		. DATE / TIME		24/10/13	24/10/13	23/10/13	24/10/13	23/10/13	23/10/13	24/10/13	23/10/13	23/10/13	23/10/13	23/10/13	23/10/13	d Plastic OPC = Mirde December
CHAIN OF CUSIODY ALS Leboratory: please fick →	VIRON	Jul	130548	ľ	steve Cadman	chelle Manditch		Email Reports to (will default to PM if no other addresses are listed):	Email Invoice to (will default to PM if no other addresses are listed):	COMMENTS/SPECIAL HANDLING/STORAGE OR DISPOSAL:			SAMPLE ID		EC02-SF21	ECO2-SFIX	F602-5F2	ECO2-SF17	Eco 2 - 5 P 11	ECOZ - SFDUPI	ECO2-SF20	ECO2 - 5F16	ECO2-SFS	ECO2 - SF7	ECO2 - SF13	ECC2 -5F3	Water Contriner Codes: P = I Intrastation Pastier M = Mitch Presented Plastier. OPC = Mitch Presented PCC: SU = Solition EndowlanCd Breaster Water Contriner Codes: P = I Intrastation M = Mitch Presented Plastier. OPC = Nick Presented PCC: SU = Solition
ů,	E S		LIKONEUL: HS	ORDER NUMBER:	₹1	SAMPLER: NICKO	COC Emailed to ALS7 (YES / MO)	≌mail Reports to (will c	amail Invoice to (will d	COMMENTS/SPECIAL	ALINO ESUL		LAB ID			2	ຕ	4-	5	ø	~	60	5-	10	=	ک ا	alar Cantainer Cortaer E

ANN ST 文字がある اما :حد Comments on likely contaminant levels, dilutions, or samples requiring specific QC analysis etc. Additional Information No 24 waur underster understructure understructure Preserved Preserved CXC; SH = Sodium Hydroxide/Cd Preserved; S = Sodium Hydroxide/Freserved; S = Sodium Hydroxide/Cd Preserved; S = H = HCI preserved; AP = AirtheightUnpreserved; S = Sodium Hydroxide/Cd Preserved Cd = Sodium Hydroxide/Cd Preserved Cd = Sodium Hydroxide/Cd Preserved Section baths; S = Sudium S = Sodium Hydroxide/Cd Preserved Cd = Sodium Hydroxide/Cd Preserved Section Theorem Freedom Preserved Section Preserved Preserved Preserved Preserved Preserved Section Preserved Preserve ø RECEIVED BY: 5.1 DATE/TIME: [1] Launceston: 27 Wellington St, Launcoston TAS 7250 Ph 03 6331 2158 E humanatonitialisemente com Perth. 10 Hod Way, Mukiga WA (609).
 PL 08 9209 2655 E. samples conflightscrown com FOR LABORATORY USE ONLY Random Sample, Lamperatura on bricka prea ANALYSIS REQUIRED including SUITES (NB. Suite Codes must be listed to attract suite price) Cori 81/11+ Where Metals are required, specify Yotal (unfiltored bottle required) or Dissolved (field Nicred bottle required). ustocy,Seal Intact?? Free (de)/ frozen (de b Other comments: RELINQUISHED BY; DATE/TIME ►-* 9 COC SEQUENCE NUMBER (Circle) ۵ U. Melbeume 2-4 Westal Rd. Sumprais-VIC 3171 FUO 8249 5600 is: anaplesi melsumeripelarimme aam U. Adalandis. 2-1 Buum Rd. Parecka SA 5605 Pt 105 8559 UB36 Endeladergenemme cam Pt 05 8559 UB36 Endeladergenemme cam ŝ <u>い</u> の し ŝ 000 1 (C) RECEIVED BY: 1.12 B DATE/TIME: 0F: 1 Non Standard or urgent TAT (List due date): 11:00um ORV Contract TAT (List due date): 5 *ð19* njog Bresbane 32 Shand Si, Staffond GLD 4053
 Pli 07 4233 7222 Examples Instalant@nlstanno.num
 Pli 07 4234 Stafford Annual Control Cli Bohlu CLD 4818
 Pli 07 4766 0600 E vennels, regumenterligenet meaning TOTAL c4/11/13 КБШИДИІЗНЕР ВУ #10 book DATE/TIME TOTAL CONTAINER INFORMATION POLDEN **TYPE & PRESERVATIVE** (refer to codes below) Email Reports to (will default to PM if no other addresses are listed): SCadmacn@env1pm(corp. Com (Standard TAT may be longer for some tests e.g., Ultra Trace Organics) TURNAROUND REQUIREMENTS : UNDREAVED CONTACT PH: 024962444 COUNTRY OF ORIGIN: Li Newcastle; 5 Rowogum Rd, Warabrook NSW 2304 Ph:02 4968 9133 E somples newcastle@atsorwro.com C. Sydney, 277 Woodyark Rd. Smithteld NSW 2176 Ph. 02 8788 2555 Enumptim swinewigalscenario.com ALS QUOTE NO .: EDD FORMAT (or default): MATRIX SAMPLER MOBILE: S ř M M 3 ()γ \sim m Y ()24/10/13 DATE / TIME 101 0/ 10/ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 PROJECT NO.: PURCHASE ORDER NO.: SAMPLE DETAILS MATRIX: Solid(S) Water{W) ŝ, 23 23/ 23. 3 24 23. 3 3 Jand ten 53 3 Email Invoice to (will default to PM if no other addresses are listed): adman COMMENTS/SPECIAL HANDLING/STORAGE OR DISPOSAL: CHAIN OF CUSTODY SEI0 FCO2-SFDUP2 SF6 Z-SFIS - SFI4 E(1) 2-5F25 ECO2-SF26 -SF12 Fro2-SF4 ALS Laboratory: please tick → - SF 9 502 - SP1 702-5F8 JUNCTION SAMPLE ID Eco2-I PNVIRON Michelle PROJECT MANAGER: SHE VE 102 PROJECT: AS (30348 FC02 507 FC0 2 COC Emailed to ALS? (YES YNO 207 9.6 ALS USE ONLY ORDER NUMBER: *ट*, 7 ALS SAMPLER: ۲ 25 2 5 2 5 24 5 33 3 CLIENT: OFFICE:

NVA N/N Comments on likely contaminant levels, dilutions, or samples requiring specific QC analysis etc. 52.61 Additional Information Wator Contriebre Codees: P = Unpreserved Plassits, N = Nithe Preserved 76C; SH = Sodium Hydroxide/Cd Preserved 7 = 5 Sodium Hydroxide Preserved Plassit; AC = Amber Glass Unpreserved Plassits, AC = Mithe Preserved Plassits, H = HC preserv Per inel RECEIVED BY: いいす DATE/TIME: 5 [1] Laurceston: 27 Wellington St, Lauriceston TAS 7250 Ph/03 6331 2158 Er lauriceston/ballsenvice.com Random Sample) Tamparalure on Receipt Ph. 08.9209.7655 E. samples purificate nationant HOR LABORATORY USE ONLY SuspeySeeIthatCh ⁴ Fents 10 Hist Way, Milliou WA (600) COLI E1/11/3 ANALYSIS REQUIRED including SUITES (NB. Suite Codes must be listed to attract suite price) Free Joe / (rozen ice briote pre eogipii) Whete Metals are required, specify Total (untilibred bottle required) or Dissolved (field filored bottle required). Other comments: RELINQUISHED BY: DATETIME 2 ~ (Cirole) ø æ Melbeene 2-4 Westal Nd Spungvaix/VC 3171
 Pix0 R529 3600 E.; assrption instantin-agalaantwe com U. Addantee 2: Baumin Rd. Porcialo SA 5055
 Pix 08 3859 1959 E. endel-deligniantwo com つめご s LO, COC SEQUENCE NUMBER 4 06 よ/こ/3 COC: 1 2 OF: † 2 RECEIVED BY: DATE/TIME: 2 Non Standard or urgent TAT (List due date): 4/11/13 11:00anh 96n 77 Constant TAT (List due date): əld νõς លាសាលាក្នុងស្ថិនិនាគណៈសាល 2 Breshane 32 Shand Si, Shifon OLD 4053
 Pin 07 222 Esamples histolane@olsc.nino.com
 Pin 07 Townsyllos, N-15 Disma Ci, Bollo OLD 4818
 Pin 17 4756 06005 E Senville. (A strangenementation) TOTAL BOTTLES RELINQUISHED Email Reports to (will default to PM If no other addresses are listed); Scad mando en uno en uno en uno en uno FOTAL CONTAINER INFORMATION aller 49820444 TYPE & PRESERVATIVE (refer to codes below) Water Container Condex: P = Unpreserved Plastic, N = Nitho Preserved Plastic, ORC = Nitho Preserved ORC; SH = Sedium HydroxideiCd Preserved (Standard TAT may be longer for some tests e.g.. Ultra Trace Organics) TURNAROUND REQUIREMENTS : mpreserved COUNTRY OF ORIGIN: LJ Newcastlor 5 Rossgum RV Walabrook NSW 2304 Ph:02.4968.9433 Examples newcastlongalsonwio.com G. Sydney 277 Woodyark Rd. Smithfield NSW 2178 Ph. 02 8784 8555 Examples evineyilijalsanviro.com ALS QUOTE NO .: EDD FORMAT (or default): CONTACT PH: 02 MATRIX Naudite sampler MOBILE: [n 13 24/10/13 DATE / TIME ECO 2 - SFDUP3 24/10/ PROJECT NO.: PURCHASE ORDER NO .: SAMPLE DETAILS MATRIX: Solid(S) Water(W) adman Email Invoice to (will default to PM if no other addresses are listed): COMMENTS/SPECIAL HANDLING/STORAGE OR DISPOSAL: CHAIN OF CUSTODY Z02-SF24 FC02-SF23 ECOZ-SFA -SF22 13034R Eco2 - 5F27 ALS Laboratory: please tick SAMPLE ID Wichelle VINRON Steve 202 , Sol COC Emailed to ALS? (YES PROJECT MANAGER: ALS USE ONLY ORDER NUMBER LAB ID 4 h 25 SAMPLER: 5 ROJECT: 30 ŝ б б CLIENT: OFFICE:

Appendix G

QA/QC Assessment

APPENDIX G

DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES

To ensure that reliable data of adequate type was collected and assessed for the investigation, the seven-step Data Quality Objective (DQO) approach, endorsed in the NSW DEC (2006) Guidelines for the NSW Site Auditor Scheme 2nd Edition, will be adopted. The DQOs set quality assurance and quality control parameters for the field and laboratory programs to ensure data of appropriate reliability will be used to assess the environmental conditions at Parcel 18.

ENVIRON has developed DQOs in accordance with the seven-step process, which is presented below.

Step 1 – State the Problem

Based on the information available from the Phase 1 ESA (ENVIRON 2013b), uses of Parcel 18 appear to be limited to a farm used for the husbandry of cattle and other animals. The remainder of Parcel 18 is a low lying wetland. These uses of Parcel 18 require confirmation via a site walkover and judgemental sampling. In addition, the potential for fluoride in surface soils from dust deposition from the Hydro smelter requires assessment.

Step 2 – Identification of the Goals (Decisions) of the Study

The following decisions are to be made from this study:

- Are the current and former uses of Parcel 18 consistent with site observations?
- Has Parcel 18 been impacted by fluoride from dust deposition from the Hydro smelter?
- Has Parcel 18 been impacted by asbestos containing materials?
- Is Parcel 18 suitable for environmental conservation landuse?

Step 3 – Identify Information Inputs to the Decision or Goal of the Study

The inputs required to make the above decisions are listed below:

- A site walkover, including collection of field notes and photographs;
- Results of surface soil samples collected for fluoride analysis;
- Proposed land use;
- Appropriate NSW contamination guidelines.

Step 4 – Define the Study Boundaries

Spatial boundaries - the study boundaries have been defined as the spatial boundary of Parcel 18, as shown on Figure 1.

Vertical boundaries – as areas of concern at Parcel 18 are restricted to surface soils, the vertical boundary of the study is the top 200mm unless subsurface contamination issues are identified during the site walkover.

Temporal boundaries – the temporal boundary is limited to the data collected during the investigation works.

Constraints within the study boundaries – This investigation does not require investigation of subsurface soils or groundwater unless impacts to subsurface soils or groundwater are considered likely to have occurred from the historical site activities

Step 5 – Develop a Decision Rule

The decision rules for this investigation are as follows:

- If it is determined that the data generated through this investigation is reliable for use in producing a site conceptual model and assessing the suitability of Parcel 18 for environmental conservation landuse, then an assessment of the suitability of Parcel 18 for environmental conservation landuse will be made;
- If it is determined that the data generated through this investigation is not suitable, comprehensive or reliable for use in producing a site conceptual model, then further investigations may be recommended prior to the development of a site conceptual model and assessment of the suitability of Parcel 18 for environmental conservation landuse.

Step 6 – Specify Performance or Acceptance Criteria that the Data need to Achieve

Acceptable limits on decision errors have been developed based on the Data Quality Indicators (DQIs) of precision, accuracy, representativeness, comparability and completeness. The DQIs for this investigation are outlined below.

The potential for significant decision errors were minimized by:

- Completion of a QA/QC assessment of the investigation data to assess if the data satisfies the DQIs;
- Assessment of whether appropriate sampling and analytical densities were completed for the purpose of the investigation; and
- Ensuring that the criteria set for the investigation were appropriate for the proposed use of Parcel 18.

Minimization of the potential for significant decision errors limits the potential that a conclusive statement may be incorrect.

Step 7 – Optimisation of the Design of Collection of Data

AS130348

The collection of data was optimized by the completion of a Phase 1 ESA (ENVIRON 2013b), data gap review and development of a sampling strategy. Attainment of the DQOs has been assessed by reference to the DQIs, presented below.

DATA QUALITY INDICATORS

The project Data Quality Indicators (DQIs) have been established to set acceptance limits on field and laboratory data collected as part of this investigation. Field and laboratory procedures acceptance limits are set at different levels for different projects and by different laboratories. Non-compliances with acceptance limits are to be documented and discussed in the report. The DQIs are presented in Table A.

Table A:	Data Quality Indicators		
DQI	Field	Laboratory	Acceptability Limits
	All critical locations sampled,	All critical samples analysed and all	As per NEPM (2013)
	including targeted sampling of	analytes analysed according to	
	areas of environmental concern	Standard Operating Procedures	
	identified during the site walkover.	(SOPs)	
	Fluoride soil sampling completed	Appropriate Practical Quantitation	
S	on a reduced density to identify if	Limits (PQLs)	
nes	fluoride in surface soils is an	Sample documentation complete	
etei	issue.	Sample holding times complied	
aldr	All samples collected	with	
Completeness	Experienced sampler		
0	Documentation correct		
	Experienced sampler	Same analytical methods used	As per NEPM (2013)
کر ا	In the event of multiple sampling	Same PQLs	
oilit	events:	Same units	
aral	Same types of samples collected	Same primary and secondary	
Comparability	Same sampling methodologies	laboratories	
Con	used		
0	Climatic conditions		
ne	Appropriate media sampled	All samples analysed according to	
ive	Relevant media sampled	SOPs	
Represe ntativene ss			
<u> </u>			
	Collection of duplicate samples	Analysis of:	
_	Sampling methodologies	Blind duplicate samples at rate of 1	RPD of 30 to 50%
ion	appropriate and complied with	in 10 samples	
scis		Split duplicate samples at rate of 1	RPD of 30 to 50%
Precision		in 20 samples	
		Laboratory duplicate samples	RPD of 30 to 50%

AS130348

Г

	Sampling methodologies	Analysis of:	
	appropriate and complied with.	Method blanks	Non-detect
		Matrix spikes	70 to 130%
ठ		Surrogate spikes	70-130%
ccuracy		Laboratory control samples	70 to 130%
CC CC		Reagent blanks	
4		Reference material	

QUALITY ASSURANCE AND QUALITY CONTROL

A quality assurance assessment for this report is presented in Table B and C below. An assessment was made of data completeness, comparability, representativeness, precision and accuracy based on field and laboratory considerations, as outlined in NSW DEC (2006) and NSW EPA (2007) guidelines.

Table B: QA/QC – Sampling and Analysis Methodology Assessment							
Sampling Methodology	Methodology						
Sampling Pattern and Locations	Surface soil sampling was undertaken in an approximate grid across Parcel 18 to assess the impact of particulate fallout from Hydro Aluminium Smelter.						
Sampling Density	Twenty seven soil samples were collected spaced evenly across Parcel 18 which is approximately 613 ha. A dry section of the Wentworth Swamp soil was also analysed for fluoride concentration in particulate fallout. The purpose of the sampling was to assess for impacts from smelter particulate fallout and therefore is considered suitable in density and spatial layout.						
Sample depths	Surface soil samples were collected from a grid across the entire of Parcel 18 from surface soils.						
Sample Collection Method	Surface soil samples across Parcel 18 were collected directly from the ground surface using using dedicated disposable gloves and a hand trowel. The hand trowel was brushed clean prior to sample collection. Soil samples were collected into laboratory supplied, acid rinsed glass jars.						
Decontamination Procedures	Surface soil samples across Parcel 18 were collected directly from the ground surface using using dedicated disposable gloves and a hand trowel. The hand trowel was generally used to loosen the soil prior to sample collection and was brushed clean between sample locations.						
Sample handling and containers	All soil samples were placed into laboratory-supplied glass jars Soil samples were placed on ice following collection and during transportation to the laboratory.						
Chain of Custody	Samples were transported to the laboratory under chain of custody conditions. The chain of custody forms were signed by the laboratory on receipt of the samples.						
Detailed description of field screening protocols	Field screening for volatiles was not completed during soil sampling as volatile contaminants were not the main chemical of concern.						

Table B: QA/QC – Sampling and Analysis Methodology Assessment								
Sampling Methodology Methodology								
Calibration of field equipment	No field equipment requiring calibration was used during this assessment.							
Sampling Logs	The lithology of surface soil samples was documented on the field information sheets, which are included in Appendix C.							

Table C: QA/QC – Field and Lab Quality Assurance and Quality Control						
Field and Lab QA/QC	ENVIRON Comments					
Field quality control samples	Intra-laboratory duplicate soil samples were analysed at a ratio of 1:10 for fluoride analysed for the grid samples across the entire of Parcel 18. No rinsate blank samples were collected.					
Field quality control results	Intra-laboratory duplicate results are presented in Table C. There were no RPD exceedences for the intra-laboratory duplicates collected for this assessment.					
NATA registered laboratory and NATA endorsed methods	ALS was used as the primary laboratory. ALS laboratory certificates are NATA stamped and the lab is accredited for the analyses performed for this assessment.					
Analytical methods	A summary of analytical methods were included in the laboratory test certificates.					
Holding times	Review of the COCs and laboratory certificates indicate that holding times were met.					
Practical Quantitation Limits (PQLs)	PQLs for all soil analytes were below Parcel 18 assessment criteria.					
Laboratory quality control samples	Laboratory quality control samples including duplicates, laboratory control samples, matrix spikes, surrogate spikes and blanks were undertaken by the laboratories at appropriate frequencies.					
Laboratory quality control results	All results for laboratory soil duplicates, laboratory control samples, matrix spikes and surrogates were acceptable and no detections were made in blank samples.					

Overall it is considered that the completed investigation works and the data obtained adequately complied with the requirements of NSW DEC (2006) and NSW EPA (2007) guidelines and that the data is of suitable quality to meet the project objectives.

AS130348